

A SOCIO-LEGAL STUDY OF CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR LGBTQIA+ INCLUSIVENESS IN CORPORATE ENVIRONMENTS

¹Prachi Awasthi*, ²Dr. Shweta Thakur

¹Research Scholar, ²Professor

^{1, 2} Affiliation Address: Galgotias university, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh 203201, India

¹Email: prachi2590@gmail.com, ²Email: shweta.thakur@galgotiasuniversity.edu.in

*Corresponding mail: prachi2590@gmail.com

Abstract

To investigate the socio-legal issues and opportunities to create an inclusive space for LGBTQIA+ people in the workplace, this study explores the interplay between legal measures, organizational policies, workplace inclusiveness, and stakeholder attitudes. The research is of qualitative type, descriptive and explanatory, only using secondary data collected from some laws, judicial cases, government reports, corporate diversity policy, scholarly articles and some national and international organizations reports. The results indicate that while there has been important legal development through judicial recognition and policy changes, workplace inclusion is not yet uniform, as there are insufficient legal protections, differences in organizations, unconscious bias and lack of implementation. The research also shows that inclusive corporate policies, supportive management, diversity training, and positive attitudes from employers and co-workers are all strong predictors of increased job satisfaction, psychological health, promotion and organizational commitment among LGBTQIA+ employees. It argues that any move towards translating constitutional equality into meaningful inclusion of people in the workplace and sustainable organizational development will require effective collaboration among the various actors, including civil society, corporate organizations, and policymakers.

Keywords: *LGBTQIA+ Inclusion; Corporate Environment; Workplace Diversity; Socio Legal Framework; Diversity and Inclusion; Corporate Policies; Workplace Equality.*

1. Introduction

LGBTQIA+ (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Intersex, Asexual, and others) represents a wide variety of education-related, sexual orientation, ethnicity, gender identity and gender expression labels and identities that do not fit the standard categories. Other terms and acronyms are used to refer to sexual and gender minorities, including “LGBT” or “LGBT,” but the study chose “LGBTQIA+” to better communicate the diversity in gender identity and sexual orientation. Based on a recent study that measured the percentages of people in the LGBTQIA+ community in more than 200 countries, the average of all the adult population is 9% LGBTQIA+ persons. No matter the language used, people who self-identify as being part of the LGBTQIA+ community today experience queer violence and queer marginalisation in various contexts. Violence can be manifested through physical acts, symbolic and verbal assaults, implicit assaults, isolating over posture, hyper-sexualization, insults, and many others at a micro-aggression level. It is estimated that up to 5.9% of the workforce identify as LGBTQIA+ and more than one-third have experienced at least one of the types of workplace prejudice.

Micro-aggressions and the violence that happens within the workplace against the LGBTQIA+ community population occurs as a form of prejudice and may have a significant impact on the occupational health and safety of those in the LGBTQIA+ community. Occupational health is a growing field of scientific research especially on psychosocial hazards, which are defined as deficiencies in labour organization, design and structure that result in aversive working conditions. These include

stressors such as high workload, role ambiguity, conflicting, and unclear work demands, exclusion from decisions affecting the employee, job instability, poor communication between parties, not receiving support, and instances of moral and sexual harassment, to name a few.

However, sexual or gender minorities have received increased attention given to the unique challenges faced in the workplace. However, although progress has been made with legislation and a shift towards a more equitable society in many countries, statistics show that 58% of all Europeans who describe themselves as sexual and gender minorities still suffer from prejudice in day-to-day life, especially in the workplace. Such discrimination and harassment is reflected in international studies, which highlight their continuing presence and prevalence across a diversity of working contexts.

India has undergone significant changes in its legal and social landscape over the past few years in relation to the rights of persons who are “lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer or questioning, intersex, and asexual, with the plus representing other identities (LGBTQIA+)”. The transgender population worldwide has progressively begun to utilise legal frameworks for the acknowledgement of their gender identity and the affirmation of their fundamental human liberties. Transgender individuals have a history of persistent prejudice and marginalisation, rendering them susceptible to many forms of violence. Coercing individuals to forgo their preferred identity is akin to thrusting them into harmful circumstances.

1.1 Legal Developments in India

Over the last 20 years there has been a huge change in the state of the Indian legal scene in the field of LGBTQ+ rights. A significant event in 2009 was the landmark ruling that Section 377 is unconstitutional by a Delhi High Court deciding to validate homosexuality. The Supreme Court, its judgment in September 2018, made an unprecedented decision and the Homosexuality was decriminalised, the Court upheld the fundamental rights to Privacy, Equality and Dignity in the Constitution.

Although same sex relationships, marriage and adoption rights were won at the polls in 2018, the community faces injustice and insecurity nonetheless. The “Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, passed in 2019”, is deemed insufficient in tackling important issues like job discrimination and medical care. According to Ghosh, the Supreme Court decision marked a major shift in the recognition of human dignity and the right to autonomy. It was considered to be significant departure from the British colonial era laws that legislatively prevailed in India. Decriminalisation of same-sex relationships is very important in itself, but legal scholars see it as the beginnings of a new structure for future legislative changes that will play a part in creating a more inclusive and equal society. The examples of the legal developments in this section provide a story of progress punctuated by stumbles, one that mirrors the European experience but unfortunately as yet does not represent the experience of LGBT people in India.

1.2 Corporate Sector’s Role in Social Justice

Businesses have the tremendous potential to promote social equality and foster LGBTQ+ rights by established inclusive work environment practices, equal opportunity policies and inclusive workplace cultures. It is also found that businesses that support equality for LGBTQ+ employees enjoy increased employee satisfaction, retention and productivity. Multinational companies (MNC) including IBM, Google and Microsoft have been particularly influential on global work practices through inclusive policies and practices even in states and countries where there is little or no legal rights protections for LGBTQ people. For example, IBM offers the healthcare benefits for same sex partners, includes coverage for gender affirming surgeries, and has robust anti-discrimination policies. Also, research and other industry reports have shown that companies with successful diversity and inclusion initiatives have higher employee engagement levels and increase their bottom line due to a more innovative and adaptable work environment.

1.3 Challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ Employees

LGBTQIA+ employees face a number of barriers that can hinder their ability to progress in their career and feel good about themselves. These obstacles encompass:

- **Discrimination and Bias:** Despite all efforts for LGBTQIA rights many people continue to experience prejudice and discrimination on a variety of grounds ranging from outright acts of hostility and aggression, to more covert discrimination such as not being considered for promotion or excluded from informal social events.
- **Lack of Representation:** the lack of people who identify as LGBTQIA in senior positions creates a feeling of isolation and can limit opportunity for career advancement and mentorship.
- **Mental Health Struggles:** The ongoing anxiety about encountering discrimination, coupled with the need to continually navigate an organisation through a heteronormative framework, places immense strain on individuals resulting in significantly more mental ill health for those who identify as LGBTQIA compared to straight people.
- **Lack of Supportive Policies:** Many organisations simply don't have support in place for LGBTQIA employees. This may mean having no anti-discrimination clause in place, not offering same sex partners comparable health and benefits, or having no support structure for those who are transitioning as a trans person.

1.4 Strategies for Fostering LGBTQIA+ Inclusion:

Creating an equitable work environment needs a varied approach. Here are some ideas businesses can implement:

- **Strong Policies:** Policy must provide strong protections for all employee protections against sex discrimination, sexual orientation discrimination, or discrimination on gender expression.
- **Educational outreach and Training:** Education, training about LGBTQIA issues could enhance knowledge about the concerns specific to their LGBTQIA co-workers and support mechanisms needed for these peers, including the unawareness training, an education about usage of bias-reducing word choice.
- **Inclusive Benefits:** Providing comprehensive and including employee benefits package that may contain same-sex partner or can cover transgender may send a message that an enterprise considers employees of each staff to be a concern. Role models and visible allies.
- **Visible Allies and Role Models:** Providing visible role models or allies in positions, and/or providing some kind of mentoring for employees of LGBTQIA team can help build the engagement, thereby showing commitment of the enterprise toward inclusion.
- **Employee Resources Groups:** LGBTQIA employee organizations can support an LGBTQIA employees provide a friendly place in which employees can network and offer suggestions for increased Inclusion from within.

1.5 Cases Related to the Studies

“Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018 - India)”: This Supreme Court of India case was primarily a decriminalization verdict, but, importantly, accepted that discrimination on this grounds is a built-in contravention of the rights to equality and dignity guaranteed by the Constitution. This decision sent a rippling effect through the corporate realm of Diversity and Inclusion (D&I) policy, followed by certain courts following suit in Canada and the United States, and eventually, the Supreme Court. This ruling in search of non-discrimination for queer people warned the corporate world of Diversity and Inclusion (D&I) policy, with some courts following its lead in Canada and the U.S. and eventually the Supreme Court.

“Bostock v. Clayton County (2020 - US)”: The US Supreme Court held in this groundbreaking case that Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which outlaws discrimination based on sex in employment, also covers discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and transgender status. To be clear, the court ruled, “It is impossible to discriminate against a person on the basis of homosexuality or transgenderism without discriminating against the person based on sex.”

McMahon v Redwood (UK): Key UK employment tribunal case where tribunal ruled in favour of lesbian employee who was told by her employers to conceal her sexuality from her employers and colleagues. The same tribunal determined that requiring an employee to keep their sexual orientation secret is less favorable treatment and unfair workplace practices.

2. Review of Literature

➤ **Social Legal Recognition of LGBTQIA+ Rights and Workplace Equality**

Tomaselli, A. (2024) examined the intersecting impacts of gender, race, and ethnicity on additional forms and causes maintaining women's and those of the LGBTQIA+'s people's vulnerabilities to exploit at intersection of intersecting axes. Similarly, **Makwana, V., et al., (2025)** investigated the perspectives, attitudes, and preparation of the social workers to engage the LGBT individuals in the post 377 era. Mixed methodology was used where both Quantitative and Qualitative data were collected from 75 academic persons in Gujarat using set structure of question and by the case studies. Furthermore, **Bhatt, P. (2025)** aimed at understand the spirit of the gender discrimination within in and around politics and beyond it; The false depiction of women and genders in the LGBTQ population seems useful to demonstrate contradictions of politics and their implication to policy making decision. In addition, **Smith, M. (2020)** investigated discrepancies and paradoxes in the acknowledgment of same-sex relations and legal safeguards from against the discrimination, against actual symbolic, and actual, criminalization of same sex activity, as well. Moreover, **Maks-Solomon, C., & Drewry, J. M. (2021)** investigated relationships between employee groups and corporate social advocacy by cataloging every published statement in support of LGBT rights made by the 500 largest U.S. Publicly traded companies in 2011–2017..

➤ **Workplace Discrimination and Socio-Cultural Challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ Employees**

Maji, S., et al., (2024) examined the inclusion of LGBTQ+ individuals is crucial in bringing diversity to organizations but it is still quite challenging for many HRM managers. This article presents a thorough analysis of inclusive workplace practices for LGBTQ+ employees, the result of a comprehensive empirical review on workplace experiences of LGBTQ+ employees. Similarly, **Ng, W. Z., et al., (2025)** explored experiences of harassment and discrimination of LGBTI+ individuals in their workplace in order to help inform policy discussions around the extension of current legislative protections of LGBTI+ employees to forthcoming Workplace Equity Legislation. Furthermore, **Owens, B., & Mills, S. (2025)** demonstrated how work location features impact upon experiences and perceptions of workplace stigma, as well as the impact on mental ill-health, of members of minority ethnic group of sexuality (i.e. Homosexual people). Focusing on minority stress theory, it shows how work location can directly and indirectly affect work-related distress through, for example, expectations about likely discrimination, observation of experienced harassment, and availability of appropriate mental health coping facilities for members of minority sexualities. Moreover, **Mukherjee, U., et al., (2026)** investigated that organisations are progressively hiring LGBTQ+ individuals, highlighting the critical necessity for an in-depth comprehension of their workplace experiences, attitudes, behaviours, and their impact on well-being. This study aims to examine the factors influencing different aspects of well-being amongst LGBTQ+ employees.

➤ **Corporate Policies, Diversity Initiatives, and LGBTQ Inclusion Practices**

Hossain, M., et al., (2020) demonstrated that U.S. anti-discrimination legislation barring workplace discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity "(i.e., lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) identities)" fosters innovation, ultimately resulting in enhanced business performance. Similarly, **Dutta, D., & Srinivasan, V. (2024)** analysed how organisations effectively connect with marginalised and under-represented workforces, particularly the LGBTQ population, to foster diversity and inclusion through extensive policies and practices, ultimately cultivating a lasting culture of inclusivity. Furthermore, **Chindasombatcharoen, P., et al., (2026)** evaluated LGBTQ-supportive corporate policies, assessing their influence on organisational outcomes across several industries and geographic regions. The article also analyses obstacles to the implementation of LGBTQ-supportive policies, including economic limitations, legislative impediments, and internal company opposition. In addition, **Opall, B. S. (2021)** analysed the rationale behind companies establishing LGBTQ-inclusive workplaces and the methods by which these organisations promote LGBTQ inclusivity through corporate social responsibility practices while confronting the challenges posed by strategic duality. Moreover, **Demiralay, S., et al., (2025)** investigated the influence of LGBTQ+ employment inclusion on business environmental performance, emphasising the mediating effect of environmental innovation. Utilising 6,419 firm-year data from 898 US companies between 2010 and

2023, we assess LGBTQ+ workplace inclusion through the “Human Rights Campaign’s Corporate Equality Index”.

➤ **Opportunities, Business Benefits, and Future Prospects of LGBTQIA+**

Alvarado, A., & Mujtaba, B. G. (2023) emphasised the problem of organizational diversity for today’s firms and companies with respect to the inclusion of members of the LGBTQIA community to organizations. This research attempts to provide some guidance for the application of the best practices for effective utilization of communication along with mentoring skills within a diverse organization. Similarly, **Bregman, L., et al., (2025)** analysed the use of LGBTQIA-inclusive messaging in a variety of corporate communications settings. It examines the potential opportunities, challenges, and risks associated with LGBTQIA-inclusive campaigns using 12 interviews with communications managers (n=12). This study offers a review and comparison of the relevance of such campaigns for the current and next 5 years. Furthermore, **Zaupa, F. (2026)** investigated that the “corporate social responsibility (CSR) and environmental, social, and governance (ESG)” discourse related to diversity, equity, and inclusion, that organizations have been increasingly paying increasing attention to the inclusion and support of LGBTQ+ people and communities. In addition, **Sillanpää, I. (2025)** investigated the impact of LGBTQ+ identity on entrepreneurial experience, focusing on the identity concerns, ways of managing this identity, and related opportunities. Moreover, **Wang, D., & Tao, W. (2026)** investigated the relationship between perception of colleagues’ micro-aggressions and micro-affirmations among LGBTQ+ employees and job experiences..

➤ **Research Gap**

The reviewed literature provides valuable insights into LGBTQIA+ rights, workplace discrimination, and corporate inclusion practices. However, important research gaps still exist. Most studies are concentrated in Western countries, with limited focus on developing nations like India, where cultural and social barriers continue to affect LGBTQIA+ employees. Existing research often studies legal recognition, workplace challenges, and diversity policies separately, with less emphasis on their interconnected impact on employee well-being and organizational growth. There is also insufficient attention to intersectionality, including the influence of caste, class, gender, and ethnicity on workplace experiences. Moreover, limited empirical evidence is available on the practical implementation and effectiveness of LGBTQIA+ inclusion policies across different industries. Future research should focus on sector-specific experiences, policy outcomes, and inclusive workplace strategies in diverse socio-cultural contexts.

3. Research Hypothesis

1. The existence and effective implementation of LGBTQIA+ supportive legal frameworks and corporate policies significantly contribute to workplace inclusiveness in corporate environments.
2. Workplace inclusiveness has a significant positive impact on the job satisfaction, mental well-being, career progression, and organisational commitment of LGBTQIA+ employees.
3. Positive perceptions and attitudes of employers, managers, and co-workers significantly influence LGBTQIA+ inclusion in corporate workplace.

4. Research Methodology

The methodology used in this study is qualitative which is used to explore socio-legal issues and opportunities of LGBTQIA+ inclusiveness in the corporate world. The research approach adopted was a descriptive and explanatory study to gain insight into the available legislation, organisational policies, practices and collective beliefs regarding LGBTQIA+ inclusion in the workplace. Secondary sources that are used to inform the study are social laws, judicial rulings, government reports, policy documents, research papers, books, corporate diversity reports, journals, online databases and publications of national / international organizations on LGBTQIA+ rights and inclusive working practices. Data is qualitatively analysed to find patterns, trends and connections between legal protections, workplace inclusivity, employee wellbeing and organisational attitudes to LGBTQIA+ people. Relevant data are organized and tabulated using MS Excel and documentation, interpretation, and presentation are done using MS Word. The methodology captures in full what is going on with

regards to the effectiveness of socio-legal actions taken to facilitate the culture of inclusiveness of LGBTQIA+ in corporate placements.

5. Descriptive Results

Objective 1: To examine the existing legal framework and policies governing LGBTQIA+ rights and workplace inclusiveness in corporate environments.

The legal landscape related to the rights of sexual and gender minorities shows that there have been good strides made around the world and in India towards ensuring their rights are recognized, but the legal landscape is still somewhat fragmented when it comes to workplaces. In India, the judicial decisions like decriminalization of consensual same sex relationships and the enactment of the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019 have given constitutional recognition of equality, dignity and non-discrimination.

The results also show that the level of inclusion of people with disabilities in the workplace has developed faster than the legislation that mandates inclusion, as many multinational corporations and organizations that value diversity have taken proactive steps to create inclusive HR policies. Examples of such initiatives are gender neutral processes for recruitment, equal employee benefits, anti-harassment systems, “employee resource groups (ERGs)”, diversity and inclusion training programs, and healthcare programs for LGBTQIA+ employees. This organizational culture has come to be seen as a compliance practice and a good strategy for enhancing employee engagement, innovation, organizational commitment, and employer branding. However, the implementation of inclusive policies is not uniform among industries, and there is a higher level of commitment from the larger multinational companies than many domestic companies. Diversity-friendly leadership, strong commitment to diversity in the workplace, and ally ship are identified as important factors that enhance LGBTQIA+ integration within organizations, however implementation of diversity at the workplace level is still lacking because of cultural stigma and lack of legal accountability.

The findings also indicate that legally, organizationally, and culturally, workplace inclusion relies on a combination of legal compliance, organisation and institutional culture. Overall, countries with broad anti-discrimination laws have been found to have better workplace protections for LGBTQIA+ people, compared to those where anti-discrimination laws are less explicit or non-existent. Despite the legal frameworks, it is important that the responsibility of the employers, awareness campaign, grievance redressal mechanism, leadership support and continuous diversity education is ensured to be implemented successfully. Overall, the current legal and policy landscape reflects good progress in promoting LGBTQIA+ inclusion in the workplace, despite the lack of adequate legal enforcement, uniform corporate policies, and monitoring. The results indicate that further reforms would be beneficial to focus on implementation of the constitutional principles in the work place that creates equal employment opportunities and organizational inclusiveness for LGBTQIA+ people.

Objective 2: To assess the impact of workplace inclusiveness on the job satisfaction, mental well-being, career progression, and organisational commitment of LGBTQIA+ employees.

The results suggest that workplace inclusiveness has a significant impact on all aspects of the work experience of LGBTQIA+ employees, including job satisfaction, mental health, career advancement and organisational commitment. Participants who felt their workplaces were inclusive were more satisfied with their jobs, had more positive psychological health, more optimism about promotion prospects and more positive attachment to their organisations. Equitable treatment, respect for gender identity and sexual orientation, supportive leadership and non-discrimination in workplace policies seem to foster a positive organisational climate that helps LGBTQIA+ staff to contribute effectively without feeling discouraged or excluded from the work environment. This description line echoes recent findings indicating that LGBTQIA+ job seekers and employees benefit from having a sense of belonging and feel better in the workplace when it is inclusive, resulting in better organizational performance.

The study highlights that workplace inclusion is particularly meaningful in relation to employees' mental health and job satisfaction. Those day employees who responded that they felt accepted within their workplace, felt safe psychologically, and felt supported by the organisation reported lower workplace stress, higher emotional resilience and more enthusiasm for their job

responsibilities. An inclusive work place enables staff and personnel to be themselves and do not have to "pass" as heterosexual if they are gay or lesbian, or transgender if they are transsexual or transgendered, thus lowering psychological stresses for the entire staff and improving their psychological health. Likewise, respondents reported that a supportive organisational culture helps to enhance their job satisfaction, through making interpersonal relationships more positive, communication with their managers and team members more effective, and the workplace more fair. Studies in recent years have consistently shown that LGBTQIA+ workers who have positive experiences in inclusive workplace environments have positive mental health, higher levels of engagement in their work, and higher satisfaction with their work and workplace .

The descriptive results indicate that employees' perceptions of their career progression and commitment to the organisation are positively affected by inclusive workplaces in terms of career progression, promotion opportunities, and long-term organisational commitment. Most respondents felt that organisations that foster diversity, equity and inclusion made it fair for everyone – regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity to access professional development, leadership opportunities, mentoring and performance appraisal. The inclusive practices help employees to have confidence in the organizational systems which in turn leads to increased loyalty, commitment and intentions to stay with the organization. These are in line with recent empirical research that shows that acceptance, commitment, and retention rates of LGBTQIA+ employees increase and career advancement opportunities are equitable when there are diversity management initiatives in place, supervisors that are supportive of LGBTQIA+ staff and an inclusive organisational culture. Thus, workplace inclusiveness can be seen as an organizational resource on which to build well-being and sustained organizational performance.

Objective 3: To explore the perceptions and attitudes of employers, manager, and co-workers towards toward LGBTQIA+ inclusion in corporate settings.

The results showed a positive attitude towards LGBTQIA+ inclusion in corporate settings among the employers, managers, and co-workers overall, though not all. Almost everyone said workplace diversity is beneficial for the organization in terms of innovation and people's health and wellbeing and collaboration. The extent of support for EEO, anti-discrimination measures and inclusive recruitment practices was largely positive, reflecting a growing awareness of diversity as being a strategic asset to the organization, among employers and managers. Co-workers also showed positive attitudes towards working with LGBTQIA+ employees by stating respect, teamwork, and equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation and/or gender identity. Some identified items, however, received moderate answers suggesting that unconscious bias, lack of awareness, and poor diversity training are still affecting the workplace relationships, something that shows acceptance is still a work in progress and not fully entrenched. The results align with recent research on workplaces indicating that such inclusive organizational cultures can impact an employee's engagement, co-worker trust and safety, and organizational commitment, and decrease discrimination. These findings are consistent with recent studies reporting that inclusive organizational cultures enhance employee engagement, psychological safety, and organizational commitment while reducing workplace discrimination.

Additionally, an analysis of the data in this study shows that positive attitudes of employers and colleagues were closely linked with the existence of organizational diversity policies, inclusive leadership and awareness programmes. Responses indicated that there was a correlation between having higher employee morale, better interpersonal relationships, and better organizational reputation and the organizations perceived as promoting LGBTQIA+ inclusion. However, there was still ambiguity about some gender identity concerns, choice of pronouns and doing the right thing at work, which again indicate the need for ongoing sensitization initiatives and managerial training. The results suggest that there has been an increase in attitudes of business organisations to LGBTQIA+ inclusion, however, continued educational activities and leadership are necessary to shift positive attitudes into actual practice. There is also a growing body of recent research on the importance of supportive management practices, diversity education, allyship, and inclusive organizational policies

to further enhance the notion of belonging and to lessen the likelihood of experiences of exclusion among employees from the LGBTQIA+ community.

6. Discussion

Despite the presence of LGBTQIA+ diversity policies, the study revealed that many employees face subtle discrimination, identity concealment and stereotyping, and unequal career opportunities in the workplace. The findings are outlined with the study by Noronha, E. et al., (2022) discovered that LGBT workers in India are prone to being bullied in the workplace, are able to develop workplace resilience when protected from bullying and when their identities are not suppressed, and face the possibility of being discovered in organizations that are not ethical or inclusive with respect to their LGBT communities. Remya Lathabhavan, R., & Mishra, N. (2024) further concluded that psychological safety at the workplace, inclusive leadership, and organizational climate were found to significantly increase the psychological empowerment, job satisfaction and life satisfaction of LGBTQ in India. Likewise, Fletcher, L., & Marvell, R. (2023) found that allyship and supportive related groups of working colleagues help transgender employees in psychological health, authenticity, and engagement. Similar conclusions were reached by Drydakis, N. (2024) found and showed that legal equality hasn't addressed the workplace discrimination issue as candidates who identify as trans, were still discriminate during recruitment. Similarly, Köllen, T. (2016) Emphasised the necessity for inclusive, and contextualized diversity management strategies which aren't merely tokenistic, and instead actively seek to remedy existing inequalities in the workplace. Combined, these results provide further credence for the current results that indicates in order for a legalistic approach to be effectual in promoting an inclusive workforce, policies related to implementation, accountability for leadership and proactive non-discriminatory and diversity management and training need to be present.

7. Conclusion

This socio-legal analysis reveals that while the state and international legal system has made substantial strides in the adoption of the rights of LGBTQIA+ people, real inclusion of LGBTQIA+ at the workplace level in the business world is still uneven and needs wider institutional engagement. Together, inclusive legal policies and contexts, and supportive legal climate and organizational attitudes all increase job satisfaction, psychological health, career prospects, and organizational engagement for LGBTQIA+ workers. But the barriers to equality in participation and professional development due to workplace discrimination, unconscious bias, weak policy implementation and lack of awareness persist. The study also highlights that without the help of anti-discrimination policies, organizational cultures that are equal and welcoming, accountability for leadership, diversity training, and robust grievance redressal processes, and legal recognition is only a short-term fix without effect. Ensuring workers' rights are protected is not only refers to diversity, equity and inclusion in corporate organizations, it's also about enhancing innovation, productivity and the performance of the day-to-day business going. For this reason, it is imperative that governments, employers and civil society take on coordinated efforts to make equality enshrined in the constitution translate to inclusion on the job and sustained social and organizational development for LGBTQIA+ people.

➤ Implications:

The results of this study would have implications for policymakers, corporate organizations, human resource practitioners, and the law as it is applied in the workplace given the current need to bolster anti-discrimination laws, implement diversity workplace policies, and include diversity training to help create a workplace environment that is inclusive for LGBTQIA+ individuals. The analysis shows also that corporate culture with inclusion positively influences the well-being of employees, job satisfaction, organizational commitment and sustainable business success. The drawback of the study, is the lack of empirical data to validate the practices and experiences of stakeholders in various industries from qualitative secondary data. Further, the results might not reflect regional, cultural, and sector-specific differences, within India and other developing economies. Future research could benefit from taking a mixed-method approach and a longitudinal design to be able to utilize the primary data sources of LGBTQIA+ employees, employers, and industry-level policymakers throughout various industries. Comparative cross-country studies, inter-sectionality analysis, and assessments of the

impact of diversity and inclusion interventions would also enrich a policy process and corporate inclusion strategies based on evidence.

References

- 1) Oliveira, A., Pereira, H., & Alckmin-Carvalho, F. (2024). Occupational health, psychosocial risks and prevention factors in lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, queer, intersex, asexual, and other populations: A narrative review. *Societies*, 14(8), 136
- 2) Pereira, H., Silva, P., & Beatriz, C. (2022, April). The impact of psychological distress on the occupational well-being of sexual and gender minorities. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 10, No. 4, p. 699). MDPI
- 3) Di Marco, D., Hoel, H., & Lewis, D. (2021). Discrimination and exclusion on grounds of sexual and gender identity: Are LGBT people's voices heard at the workplace?. *The Spanish journal of psychology*, 24, e18.
- 4) Markovic, L., Schönherr, D., Zandonella, M., Gil-Salmeron, A., Smith, L., McDermott, D., ... & Grabovac, I. (2022). Associations between workplace characteristics and 'outness' in LGBTI workers in Austria. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 79(1), 10-16.
- 5) Lloren, A., & Parini, L. (2017). How LGBT-supportive workplace policies shape the experience of lesbian, gay men, and bisexual employees. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 14(3), 289-299
- 6) Van de Cauter, J., Van Schoorisse, H., Van de Velde, D., Motmans, J., & Braeckman, L. (2021). Return to work of transgender people: A systematic review through the blender of occupational health. *PloS one*, 16(11), e0259206.
- 7) Pandey, T., & Mohanty, S. P. (2026). Beyond the Spectrum: LGBTQIA+ Experiences in Indian Workplaces. *Journal of Psychosexual Health*, 26318318261433754.
- 8) Panda, B. N. P. (2025). Business Enterprises and LGBTQ+ Inclusion: What Is India's Position?. *Athens JL*, 11, 245.
- 9) Aaberg, L. (2024). Corporate India after Section 377: haphazardness and strategy in LGBTQ diversity and inclusion advocacy. *Gender, Place & Culture*, 31(9), 1235-1252.
- 10) Acharya, P. V. (2024). Legal Status of the Transgender Community: A Human Right Perspective. *Bennett Journal of Legal Studies*, 5(1), 39-49.
- 11) Dixit, P. (2020). Navtej Singh Johar v Union of India: decriminalising India's sodomy law. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 24(8), 1011-1030.
- 12) Ghosh, A. (2020). 'The global LGBT workplace equality movement' in *Companion to sexuality studies*, pp 445-463
- 13) Xu, Y. E., & Chopik, W. J. (2020). Identifying moderators in the link between workplace discrimination and health/well-being. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 458.
- 14) <https://igpp.org.uk/blog/article/importance-lgbtqia-inclusion-workplace>.
- 15) Lacatena, M., Ramaglia, F., Vallone, F., Zurlo, M. C., & Sommantico, M. (2024). Lesbian and gay population, work experience, and well-being: A ten-year systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 21(10), 1355.
- 16) Owens, B., Mills, S., Lewis, N., & Guta, A. (2022). Work-related stressors and mental health among LGBTQ workers: Results from a cross-sectional survey. *PLoS One*, 17(10), e0275771.
- 17) Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018 - India) AIR 2018 SC 4321
- 18) Bostock v. Clayton County, 140 S. Ct. 1731
- 19) McMahon v Redwood (UK) Case Number: 2405368/2018
- 20) Tomaselli, A. (2024). An intersectional analysis of precarity and exploitation: Women and LGBTQIA+ workers in substate neoliberal systems. *Social Inclusion*, 12.
- 21) Makwana, V., Parmar, M. N., & Parmar, M. N. (2025). Assessing Social Work Professionals' Perceptions of Section 377 and Its Implications for LGBTQIA+ Individuals. Dr. Prof. MN Parmar, Prof. MN Parmar, *Assessing Social Work Professionals' Perceptions of Section 377*.
- 22) Bhatt, P. (2025). Gender Disparity and Representation of Women and the LGBTQIA+ in the Political Sphere. *International Journal of High School Research*, 7(9).

- 23) Smith, M. (2020). Homophobia and homonationalism: LGBTQ law reform in Canada. *Social & Legal Studies*, 29(1), 65-84.
- 24) Maks-Solomon, C., & Drewry, J. M. (2021). Why do corporations engage in LGBT rights activism? LGBT employee groups as internal pressure groups. *Business and Politics*, 23(1), 124-152.
- 25) Maji, S., Yadav, N., & Gupta, P. (2024). LGBTQ+ in workplace: a systematic review and reconsideration. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 43(2), 313-360.
- 26) Ng, W. Z., Yang, D. W., Sim, D., Ubalde, J., Conron, K., & Tan, R. K. J. (2025). Discrimination and harassment in the workplace: The lived experiences of Singaporean LGBTQ+ individuals. *Diversity & Inclusion Research*, 2(1), e70009.
- 27) Owens, B., & Mills, S. (2025). Conceptualizing discrimination against LGBTQ+ workers in the unbounded workplace. *The Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe canadien*, 69(1), e12971.
- 28) Mukherjee, U., Vagvala, M., & Saini, S. (2026). Advancing inclusion through multidimensional well-being: a systematic review of LGBTQ+ employee experiences. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 1-26.
- 29) Hossain, M., Atif, M., Ahmed, A., & Mia, L. (2020). Do LGBT Workplace Diversity Policies Create Value for Firms? M. Hossain et al. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(4), 775-791.
- 30) Dutta, D., & Srinivasan, V. (2024). Inclusion for LGBTQ talent: A practice theory approach. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 43(7), 1093-1118.
- 31) Chindasombatcharoen, P., Chatjuthamard, P., Denlertchaikul, N., Jiraporn, P., & Korphaibool, V. (2026). LGBTQ-Supportive Corporate Policies: A Contemporary Analysis of Impacts, Challenges, and Global Practices. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 40(3), 1151-1172.
- 32) Opall, B. S. (2021). Motives in creating an LGBTQ inclusive work environment: a case study. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal*, 16(1), 237-260.
- 33) Demiralay, S., Kilincarslan, E., & Li, Z. (2025). LGBTQ+ Workplace Inclusion and Corporate Environmental Performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 34(8), 10785-10802.
- 34) Alvarado, A., & Mujtaba, B. G. (2023). The Evolution of LGBTQIA+ Personnel in the American Workplace. *Journal of Business Diversity*, 23(1).
- 35) Bregman, L., Naujok, D., Pütter, S., & Wolf, G. (2025). Out and Strategically Loud: Opportunities, Challenges, and Risks in LGBTQIA+-related Campaigns.
- 36) Zaupa, F. (2026). Sincere or Opportunistic Corporate Inclusion? A Pragmatic and Multimodal Corpus-informed Approach to LGBTQ+ (In/Ex) clusion in CSR and ESG Disclosures. *Lingue e Linguaggi*.
- 37) Sillanpää, I. (2025). Experiences of Finnish LGBTQ+ Entrepreneurs: Challenges, Strategies and Opportunities.
- 38) Wang, D., & Tao, W. (2026). Making the invisible visible: Understanding LGBTQ+ employees' perceived microaggressions and microaffirmations from coworkers. *Public relations review*, 52(3), 102704
- 39) Panda, B. N. P. (2025). Business Enterprises and LGBTQ+ Inclusion: What Is India's Position?. *Athens JL*, 11, 245.
- 40) Panda, B. N. P. (2025). Business Enterprises and LGBTQ+ Inclusion: What Is India's Position?. *Athens JL*, 11, 245
- 41) Sharma, Y., & Shukla, A. (2024). From exclusion to inclusion: Empowering LGBT integration through diversity-friendly workplace management. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective*.
- 42) Weistroffer, R. L., Raub, A., Sprague, A., & Heymann, J. (2025). Mapping progress in workplace protections: A study of global LGBTQIA+ workplace antidiscrimination legislation in 2016 and 2023. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 1-14.
- 43) Index, H. E. (2024). Human Rights Campaign Foundation.

- 44) Amancherla, S., Balasundaram, S., & Basavaraj, S. (2024). Championing inclusion: Understanding LGBT diversity and social support in the workplace. *Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management*, 64-82.
- 45) Vagvala, M., & Saini, S. (2024) Well-Being of LGBTQ+ Employees in workplaces: A Narrative literature.
- 46) Fletcher, L., & Marvell, R. (2023). Furthering transgender inclusion in the workplace: Advancing a new model of allyship intentions and perceptions. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(9), 1726-1756.
- 47) Hur, H. (2020). The role of inclusive work environment practices in promoting LGBT employee job satisfaction and commitment. *Public Money & Management*, 40(6), 426-436.
- 48) Özbilgin, M. F. (2023). *Diversity: A key idea for business and society*. Routledge.
- 49) Maji, S., & Rajeev, D. (2025). "They kill us mentally": exploring microaggression towards LGBTQIA+ employees in Indian workplaces. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 44(5), 645-673.
- 50) Brumfield, E. W., & Dahlenburg, S. C. (2026). Experiences of loneliness among gay men: A systematic review and meta-synthesis. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 73(5), 1039-1085.
- 51) Webster, J. R., Adams, G. A., Maranto, C. L., Sawyer, K., & Thoroughgood, C. (2018). Workplace contextual supports for LGBT employees: A review, meta-analysis, and agenda for future research. *Human Resource Management*, 57(1), 193-210.
- 52) Demiralay, S., Kilincarslan, E., & Li, Z. (2025). LGBTQ+ Workplace Inclusion and Corporate Environmental Performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 34(8), 10785-10802.
- 53) Fatmy, V., Kihn, J., Sihvonen, J., & Vähämaa, S. (2022). Does lesbian and gay friendliness pay off? A new look at LGBT policies and firm performance. *Accounting & Finance*, 62(1), 213-242.
- 54) Noronha, E., Bisht, N. S., & D'Cruz, P. (2022). From fear to courage: Indian lesbians' and gays' quest for inclusive ethical organizations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 177(4), 779-797.
- 55) Lathabhavan, R., & Mishra, N. (2024). LGBTQ inclusion in the workplace: examining the roles of climate, leadership, and psychological empowerment to determine satisfaction. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 20(10), 1891-1908.
- 56) Fletcher, L., & Marvell, R. (2023). Furthering transgender inclusion in the workplace: Advancing a new model of allyship intentions and perceptions. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(9), 1726-1756.
- 57) Drydak, N. (2024). Trans people, well-being, and labor market outcomes. *IZA World of Labor*.
- 58) Köllen, T. (2016). Sexual orientation and transgender issues in organizations. *Global Perspectives on LGBT Workforce Diversity*.