Foreign Direct Investment and Employment Generation in India: A Qualitative Exploration of Opportunities and Challenges (2000-2019)

Dr. Harmandeep Kaur
Assistant Professor, Department of Social Sciences, Khalsa College, Amritsar, Punjab, India
Email Id: hdeep30@gmail.com

Abstract

This study analyzes the sectoral and regional trends of foreign direct investment (FDI) in India from 2000 to 2019 and evaluates their effects on job creation. Utilizing secondary data from government reports, academic studies, and investment databases, the research employs a qualitative methodology to examine the impact of foreign direct investment (FDI) on job creation across various sectors and states, as well as the determinants influencing its inclusivity and sustainability. The findings indicate that FDI inflows have predominantly targeted services and capital-intensive manufacturing, resulting in high-skill employment opportunities while offering limited prospects for extensive low-skilled labor absorption. The benefits of jobs are also not evenly spread out. Most of the FDI and job growth goes to advanced industrial states, while less-developed areas fall behind. The results are affected by the types of investments, the availability of skilled workers, the quality of infrastructure, and the types of FDI. The research indicates that FDI can enhance productivity and wages; however, it will not significantly affect employment without targeted policy interventions. Investment promotion, skill development, incentives for labor-intensive industries, and better regional infrastructure are all things that need to happen together in order for FDI to create jobs in India that are fair and last.

Keywords: *Foreign Direct Investment (FDI); Employment Generation; India; Labor Market; Sectoral Distribution; Regional Disparities; Skill Development; Economic Liberalization*

INTRODUCTION

The LPG reforms of 1991, which opened up the economy, privatized businesses, and made trade easier, have had a big impact on India's economic growth since the early 1990s. These changes got rid of rules that made it hard for foreigners to invest and trade in the US economy, making it easier for them to do so. One of the most important changes that these reforms made was to let FDI into the economy. Policymakers considered FDI a vital source of financial capital, technology transfer, managerial expertise, and integration into global production networks (Athukorala, 2020; Dunning & Lundan, 2019). From 2000 to 2019, India was one of the fastest-growing countries in the world to receive FDI. The growth was helped by the creation of Special Economic Zones (SEZs), small steps toward liberalization, promotional efforts like Make in India and Startup India, and better bilateral investment treaties (UNCTAD, 2020; IBEF, 2024).

The generation of employment has been a fundamental expectation of FDI inflows in India, particularly in light of the country's demographic context. Policymakers perceived foreign direct investment (FDI) as a potential catalyst for leveraging the demographic dividend, given that nearly 65% of the population was under the age of 35 and over 10 million individuals were added to the labor force annually during the 2000s and 2010s (Mehrotra, 2022). The theoretical justification is that foreign direct investment (FDI) has the potential to generate employment opportunities through the establishment of new production units and indirectly by stimulating domestic suppliers, expanding services, and increasing household incomes, which in turn generate demand for employment-intensive sectors (Blomström & Kokko, 2003; Alfaro, 2017). Additionally, it is anticipated that foreign investment will promote qualitative employment gains by facilitating the formalization of the labor market, promoting the development of skills, and introducing advanced technologies.

The empirical evidence regarding the relationship between employment generation in India and FDI has been equivocal, despite these expectations. Studies show that FDI has significantly impacted sectors such as IT, financial services, telecommunications, and high-value manufacturing, creating greater demand for skilled labor and urban job growth (Nayyar, 2019; Bhattarai & Negi, 2020). However, its employment benefits remain concentrated in capital- and skill-intensive industries, with limited spillovers to labor-intensive sectors like textiles, leather, and small-scale manufacturing where most of India's workforce is engaged (Malik, 2019; Kapoor, 2021).

This has created structural imbalances, with high FDI inflows coexisting alongside underemployment and informality. Regionally, states with stronger infrastructure, skilled labor, and investment-friendly policies—such as Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, and Delhi-NCR—have attracted the bulk of FDI, driving localized job growth (India-Briefing, 2024). Conversely, struggling regions such as Bihar, Jharkhand, and parts of the North-East have remained marginalized, highlighting significant structural disparities in their ability to absorb FDI. (Kumar & Sharma, 2020). These spatial asymmetries raise concerns regarding the inclusiveness of FDI-led growth and the capacity of investment liberalization to facilitate balanced regional development.

Additionally, the stability and quality of employment in India have not always been guaranteed by FDI-induced employment. In sectors such as construction, retail, and specific manufacturing segments, a substantial number of positions are contractual in nature, with limited social security coverage and a high susceptibility to economic shocks. Migrant and contractual workers in FDI-linked industries were disproportionately affected by unemployment and income insecurity, as the COVID-19 pandemic underscored this fragility (ILO, 2021). The Economic Survey of India (2023) had already highlighted that the translation of record FDI inflows India received over USD 64 billion in FY2018–19 into mass employment remained below potential, particularly in labor-absorbing sectors, even before the pandemic.

The period 2000–2019 is a critical juncture in India's economic trajectory, as it is characterized by the establishment of initiatives to attract foreign investment, such as Make in India and Special Economic Zones, as well as the consolidation of reforms. Despite the substantial increase in FDI inflows during this period, the impact on employment generation has been inconsistent across sectors and regions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

FDI and Employment in Manufacturing versus Services

The impact of FDI on employment has varied sharply between manufacturing and services. Malik (2019), analyzing panel data of 54 Indian manufacturing industries, found that FDI had no significant long-term effect on employment, largely because inflows were concentrated in capital-intensive sub-sectors such as automobiles and chemicals rather than labor-intensive industries like textiles and leather. Similarly, Athukorala (2020) highlights that India's manufacturing sector, though a priority under Make in India, has not absorbed FDI to the extent of creating large-scale low-skilled employment. In contrast, the services sector particularly IT, finance, telecommunications, and business services has been more responsive, with FDI inflows contributing directly to job creation and indirectly to productivity gains (Bhattarai & Negi, 2020). This sectoral divergence underscores that while FDI can be a source of modern, high-skill jobs, its contribution to mass employment in labor-abundant India has been limited.

Firm-Level Effects: Productivity, Wages, and Employment

Firm-level studies offer a more optimistic perspective. Bhattarai and Negi (2020) found that FDI improved firm sales, profits, wages, and employment across several manufacturing and service sectors, particularly after 2014. These findings suggest that FDI-backed firms tend to expand faster and create more employment opportunities than purely domestic firms. However, Baranwal (2019) cautions that while average wages rise in FDI-linked firms, these gains often come with increased wage inequality, as skilled workers benefit disproportionately. Similarly, Kapoor (2021) points out that the job creation effects of FDI are often skill-biased, favoring engineers, IT professionals, and managerial staff, while low-skilled workers remain excluded.

Human Capital and Skill Development

The role of FDI in fostering human capital development remains contested. Baranwal (2019) found little evidence that FDI contributes significantly to domestic workforce training or upskilling, suggesting that foreign firms often rely on pre-existing pools of skilled labor rather than investing in broader skill formation. This contrasts with the expected spillover effects in technology transfer and skill upgrading emphasized in global FDI literature (Blomström & Kokko, 2003; Alfaro, 2017). In India's case, the limited focus on training has restricted FDI's capacity to contribute to long-term improvements in employability. Mehrotra (2022) further argues that without complementary public investment in vocational training and education, the employment potential of FDI will remain constrained.

Macro-Level Dynamics: Output Growth versus Employment Growth

Several macroeconomic studies underline the "jobless growth" phenomenon. Kirti and Prasad (2016) found that while FDI inflows correlated positively with GDP growth, employment growth lagged, particularly during high-growth years in the mid-2000s. This indicates that FDI tends to raise productivity and output more than it expands employment opportunities. UNCTAD (2020) confirms this trend at a global level, observing that FDI has increasingly shifted toward capital-intensive, knowledge-driven sectors that contribute to growth but not necessarily to mass job creation. Thus, in India, FDI has contributed to modernization but not sufficiently to absorbing the expanding labor force.

Regional Disparities and Unequal Employment Outcomes

Another important theme in the literature is the uneven distribution of FDI across states. Kumar and Sharma (2020) found that FDI inflows are heavily concentrated in industrially advanced states such as Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, and Tamil Nadu, which already enjoy superior infrastructure, skilled labor, and proactive investment policies. As a result, employment generation from FDI has also been localized in these regions, while less-developed states such as Bihar, Odisha, and parts of the North-East have remained marginalized. India-Briefing (2024) similarly notes that nearly 80% of FDI inflows during FY2015–2019 went to five states, deepening spatial inequalities in employment outcomes.

The thematic evidence suggests that FDI has not been a uniform driver of employment in India. While firm-level outcomes show productivity and wage gains, these benefits are concentrated in skill-intensive sectors and regions. Manufacturing has not delivered mass employment, services have generated mainly urban high-skill jobs, and regional disparities remain stark. Human capital spillovers are weaker than expected, and macro-level growth effects have not translated into proportionate employment gains. This fragmented picture points to the conclusion that FDI's employment impact in India is conditional and mediated by sectoral composition, labor market structure, and state-level absorptive capacity.

Research Gap

Despite extensive studies on FDI and employment in India, significant gaps remain. Most research focuses on single sectors or aggregates, lacking integrated analysis of sectoral and regional patterns of FDI-induced employment. There is limited insight into the qualitative aspects of jobs such as formality, skill level, and wage quality. Evidence on FDI's role in skill development and long-term human capital formation is inconclusive. Regional disparities in FDI benefits are noted, but few studies explore the institutional and infrastructural causes behind them. Moreover, the evolution of

FDI's employment impact over the 2000–2019 period, which includes major policy shifts, remains underexplored. Addressing these gaps is crucial to understand how FDI can better contribute to inclusive employment growth in India.

Scope of the Study

This research investigates the correlation between foreign direct investment (FDI) and job creation in India from 2000 to 2019. It talks about differences in FDI inflows by sector and region, the quality and skill level of the jobs created, and the institutional and policy factors that affect job outcomes. The study seeks to furnish insights beneficial for policymakers, investors, and researchers aiming to augment the employment advantages of FDI while tackling regional and skill-based disparities.

Research Objectives

1. To analyze sectoral and regional patterns of FDI in India (2000–2019) and their impact on employment generation.
2. To assess the key factors influencing the ability of FDI to create inclusive and sustainable jobs.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to investigate the correlation between foreign direct investment (FDI) and job creation in India from 2000 to 2019. It employs secondary data from legitimate and reliable sources, encompassing government reports, policy documents, statistical databases (including the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Reserve Bank of India, and UNCTAD), and peer-reviewed academic literature.

The methodology involves:

1. **Document Analysis** – Reviewing policy frameworks, economic surveys, and FDI reports to understand trends, patterns, and policy changes over the study period.
2. **Thematic Analysis** – Synthesizing findings from existing empirical studies to identify recurring themes, sectoral patterns, and gaps in the literature.
3. **Comparative Analysis** – Examining regional variations in FDI inflows and employment effects to understand disparities and policy influences.

This approach allows a comprehensive understanding of both the quantitative trends and qualitative dimensions of FDI's impact on employment, and helps identify opportunities and challenges for policy interventions.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Sectoral Patterns of FDI and Employment Generation

Analysis of data from 2000 to 2019 reveals that FDI inflows into India have been highly sector-specific. The services sector including information technology, finance, telecommunications, and business process outsourcing has been the largest recipient of FDI, accounting for a substantial share of inflows (IBEF, 2024). These investments have created high-skill employment opportunities, especially in urban centres, and contributed to wage growth. However, the jobs generated are often concentrated in specialised skill categories, limiting their inclusivity for the broader workforce.

In contrast, manufacturing although a key focus of the Make in India initiative has attracted FDI primarily in capital-intensive sub-sectors such as automobiles, electronics, pharmaceuticals, and petrochemicals. While these sectors have enhanced technological capabilities and productivity, their employment impact has been limited due to high automation and low labour intensity (Malik, 2019; Kapoor, 2021). Labour-intensive manufacturing sectors such as textiles, leather, and food processing have received relatively less foreign investment, restricting large-scale employment creation for low- and semi-skilled workers. Agriculture and allied sectors have attracted minimal FDI, which has further limited employment opportunities in rural areas. The concentration of FDI in select high-skill sectors has, therefore, contributed to a dual pattern: significant gains in productivity and wages in certain industries, but modest overall job creation for the mass workforce.

Regional Patterns of FDI and Employment Outcomes

The benefits of FDI inflows and jobs have not been evenly spread out across Indian states. Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Gujarat are some of the states that have gotten the most FDI. This is because they have better infrastructure, are easier to do business in, and have a lot of skilled workers (Kumar & Sharma, 2020). These states have gained not only from increased FDI but also from the creation of good jobs.

On the other hand, states that are not as industrialized, like Bihar, Odisha, and many in the North-East, have gotten very little FDI, which has led to few new jobs. These areas have not been able to take advantage of foreign investment because of weak institutions, bad infrastructure, and a lack of skilled workers. This uneven pattern has made the differences between regions' economies even bigger and shows how important it is for states to step in with policies to make sure that employment outcomes are fair.

Key Factors Influencing the Employment Impact of FDI

A number of structural and policy-related factors determine whether FDI results in inclusive and sustainable employment:

1. **Sectoral Composition of FDI**: Sectors that need a lot of workers are more likely to create a lot of jobs. However, most of the FDI that India has received has gone to capital-intensive and high-skill sectors, which has limited their ability to create jobs for a wide range of people.
2. **Skill Availability**: One of the biggest problems has been that foreign investors want workers with certain skills that aren't available in the local workforce. FDI has made it possible for skilled workers to get good jobs, but it has made it harder for unskilled workers to find work, which has led to unequal job benefits (Baranwal, 2019).
3. **Policy Environment**: FDI patterns have been affected by policy measures like the "Make in India" initiative and reforms that only affect certain sectors. But the lack of specific incentives for labor-intensive industries has made it harder for jobs to grow.
4. **Infrastructure and Institutional Capacity**: Regions with good infrastructure and good governance get more FDI, which can lead to jobs. On the other hand, FDI in less-developed areas has less potential to create jobs because of poor infrastructure and weak institutions (Kumar & Sharma, 2020).
5. **Form of FDI**: Greenfield investments, which involve building new facilities, have a higher potential for creating jobs than mergers and acquisitions. However, their share of India's FDI inflows has been small.

The results indicate that the connection between FDI and job creation in India is influenced by sectoral priorities, regional capacities, skill availability, and policy frameworks. FDI has helped create jobs in some high-skill fields and advanced states, but its full potential for creating jobs is still not being used. Without specific policies to promote investment in labor-intensive industries, skill development, and regional infrastructure, FDI will keep creating jobs that aren't evenly distributed.

CONCLUSION

This study reveals that FDI in India (2000–2019) has grown significantly but delivered uneven employment outcomes. FDI has contributed to productivity, higher wages, and job creation especially in services and high-skill sectors but has had limited impact on large-scale, low-skilled employment. Manufacturing, while receiving substantial FDI, has largely been capital-intensive, limiting labour absorption. Employment benefits have also been concentrated in a few advanced states, reinforcing regional disparities. The findings highlight that FDI alone cannot ensure inclusive employment growth. Effective policy measures such as skill development aligned with industry needs, incentives for labour-intensive sectors, regional infrastructure development, and institutional reforms are essential to maximise the employment potential of FDI. Such integration of investment and employment strategies is crucial for leveraging FDI as a driver of inclusive growth and realising India's demographic dividend.

REFERENCES

1. Alfaro, L. (2017). Gains from foreign direct investment: Macro and micro approaches. The World Bank Economic Review, 30(Supplement_1), S2–S15. https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhw007
2. Athukorala, P. (2020). Foreign direct investment and manufacturing in India: Trends, patterns and policy issues. Journal of Asian Economic Integration, 2(1), 33–61. https://doi.org/10.1177/2631684620904549
3. Bhattarai, K., & Negi, J. (2020). Foreign direct investment and economic growth in India: A sectoral analysis. Asian Economic and Financial Review, 10(2), 204–220. https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.aefr.2020.102.204.220
4. Blomström, M., & Kokko, A. (2003). The economics of foreign direct investment incentives. NBER Working Paper No. 9489. National Bureau of Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.3386/w9489
5. Dunning, J. H., & Lundan, S. M. (2019). Multinational enterprises and the global economy (2nd ed.). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
6. Economic Survey of India. (2023). Economic Survey 2022–23. Ministry of Finance, Government of India. Retrieved from https://www.indiabudget.gov.in
7. India Brand Equity Foundation (IBEF). (2024). Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in India. Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India. Retrieved from https://www.ibef.org
8. India-Briefing. (2024, May 30). Which Indian states received the most FDI in FY 2023-24? Retrieved from https://www.india-briefing.com
9. India Ratings / ET Government. (2023, August 14). India's FDI share in GDP declines; services, industrial corridors benefit. Retrieved from https://government.economictimes.indiatimes.com
10. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2021). India: Impact of COVID-19 on migrant workers and policy responses. ILO Country Office for India. Retrieved from https://www.ilo.org
11. Kapoor, R. (2021). Technology, jobs, and inequality: Evidence from India's manufacturing sector. Brookings India Research Paper No. 092021. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu
12. Kumar, A., & Sharma, A. (2020). Regional disparities in FDI inflows and employment generation in India. Indian Journal of Labour Economics, 63(2), 321–343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41027-020-00225-3
13. Mehrotra, S. (2022). Realising the demographic dividend in India: Challenges and policy options. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 46(1), 23–49. https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/beab062
14. Nayyar, D. (2019). Resurgent Asia: Diversity in development. Oxford University Press.
15. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2020). World Investment Report 2020: International production beyond the pandemic. Geneva: UNCTAD. Retrieved from https://unctad.org