The Sociology of Cancer Diagnosis: Stigma, Family Communication, and Treatment Delay

Izzeldeen Abdullah Alnaimi[1], Ibrahim Abdul Jaleel Yamani[2], Ahed J. Alkhatib[3]

[1]Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU)

[2]Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU)

[3]Jordan University of Science & Technology

Abstract: Receiving a cancer diagnosis is not something that happens only within the realm of biomedicine, but also through a sociomedical lens. Factors like stigma, family communication, culture and health-system responsiveness also play a role. The article aims to investigates how stigma attached to cancer affects communication patterns in families, and how the ensuing delay may delay treatment uptakes. Cancer and other stigmatized diseases become misunderstood and feared, so people may remain silent or avoid them or seek less help. Family members' cancer communication has a central mediating role. First, open disclosure can facilitate emotional support, shared decision-making and timely navigation of cancer-care pathways. Second, protective or limited disclosure can delay discussion of treatment options and lessen caregiver involvement.

The review also shows the moderating role of socioeconomic status, gender norms, health literacy, cultural expectations and patient–provider relations on stigma and treatment delay. In settings marked by illness-related fatalism, blame, social exclusion, and fear of placing a bu rden on family, these factors are especially important. The publication concludes that interventions that impact on the individual level as well as family communication, community awareness, patient advocacy and health-system policies can reduce cancer-related stigma to prevent people from experiencing cancer. Through a sociomedical approach, cancer diagnosis can allow early disclosure, reduce delays in diagnosis and treatment, and enhance patient-centered cancer care

Keywords: Cancer stigma; Family communication; Treatment delay; Cancer disclosure; Sociomedicine

Introduction

Cancer diagnosis stigma refers to the negative stereotypes and social exclusion associated with a cancer diagnosis, which affects the disclosure of a cancer diagnosis and the initiation of cancer treatment (1). Stigma is an important factor delaying timely cancer treatment. Underscoring research questions that connect stigma with disclosure, family communication, and treatment initiation, analysis draws on qualitative and quantitative sources, exploring what influences the decision to disclose a diagnosis within the family, how subsequent discussions proceed, and how stigma and communication shape diagnostic-treatment delay (2). Theoretical lenses from social psychology frame stigma as a product of historical and cultural narratives (3). Operation constructs treat stigma as a complex to divulge, disclosure as the process of revelation, and delay as the time difference between diagnosis and the start of the first cancer treatment (4).

Treatment initiation is a critical step along cancer care pathways, yet stigma and the family stigma influence the initiation of treatment. Stigma may govern both the timing of a cancer diagnosis and the tendency to disclose one's cancer diagnosis. Delayed disclosure hampers timely treatment, drawing attention to how stigma and family communication shape the initiation of treatment (1). The disclosure of a cancer diagnosis within the family is a key decision point that determines whether the family has the opportunity to discuss the next steps and cancer treatment (4). Family communication provides assistance to cope with the challenges posed by a cancer diagnosis, and stigma influences the family-help-seeking process differently (5). Under a dominant educational narrative, women perceive a higher risk of a cancer diagnosis and hence are more cautious about revealing their symptoms to the family as compared with men, delaying the treatment initiation (6).

The cancer diagnosis process is articulated through cancer care pathways, which outline the sequence of events that begins with first symptomatic awareness and culminates in the start of the first treatment (7, 8). Cancer care pathways are non-linear and are subject to interruptions where patients seek to address problems encountered during treatment (9-11). These pathways highlight the time elapsed between successive events along the care continuum, including the time between diagnosis and treatment initiation (7).

The social construction of cancer stigma

Cancer is a disease that can affect anyone (12). However, the prevailing historical and cultural narrative in many contexts is that it is primarily a death sentence, representing a significant public health threat (13). Stigma associated with it is common and is damaging and dangerous on many levels (14). Nevertheless, cancer persists as a concealed subject; the word "cancer" is not freely spoken (15). It is treated as a potentially correct answer to the riddle: "What word frightens you most?" Stigma follows from the perceived threat of contagion or the attribution of personal or moral wrongdoing (12). The socially constructed stigmatization results from social cognition; individuals are socialized to attribute specific meanings to cancer (16, 17). Rather than interpreting these attributes as individual deficiencies or failings, the attributes can be seen and understood as embodied in the socially constructed culture of death (18, 19).

Stigmatized illnesses, such as leprosy, tuberculosis, STIs, etc., are renowned for leading to a lack of a will to seek help and treatment (20). Intense feelings of alienation arise: of being no longer part of the shared 'us' but belonging solely to the rejected, condemned 'them' (21). A group of patients may find it useful to test how the knowledge of a stigmatised syndrome spreads and how socialisation of appropriate, selective silence takes place immediately after diagnosis (22). Stigmatization and experimental avoidance delay help-seeking, and observation emerges of how participants opt into and out of disclosure decisions to avoid the master status that attaches to stigma discourse (23, 24).

3. Family communication patterns and disclosure dynamics

Family communication is central to the response to a cancer diagnosis, since family members typically play a major role in providing social support (26, 27). Models of family communication and disclosure reveal that an important dimension of family communication is the degree to which family members routinely disclose personal information to one another (28, 29). The two extremes of this dimension can be characterized as normative family disclosure, where personal information is readily shared, and protective family disclosure, where personal information is withheld in an effort to shield family members from negative or distressing content (25).

Normative family disclosure aligns with a general pattern of help-seeking in response to a cancer diagnosis (30). In these cases, the individual with an initial health concern openly discloses information about symptoms and the steps already taken to address the concern (31). Family members often seek additional information to supplement what has already been shared (32). When the initial help-seeking endeavor results in a diagnosis of cancer, family channels of communication facilitate broader discussions and a more systematic exploration of treatment options (33). Alternatively, in protective family disclosure, expansive family discussions about a cancer diagnosis are more constrained at the outset (33). A still-decisive individual may wish to delay further discussions—or even disclosure altogether—precisely because the decision to seek help has already been made (34). In other cases, the individual has already made a decision not to pursue further help, and the battle then becomes not to disclose the diagnosis but rather to manage back-door inquiries about the initial health concern (34).

Several variables influence the family-dynamics component of disclosure to family members (35). The closeness of the relationship often exerts a strong influence on the decision about whether to disclose (36). Likewise, gender norms influence who is more likely to seek help, while cultural norms exercise controls on whether additional information is sought (37, 38). The health literacy of family members sometimes colors the choice of whether and how much to disclose (39). Finally, anticipated stigma is another variable associated with delayed disclosure (40).

The impact of stigma and communication on treatment initiation

The stigma associated with cancer manifests as a reluctance to disclose diagnosis; such nondisclosure frequently leads to delayed treatment initiation and increased mortality (11). Nondisclosure operates through the intermediary of family communication; in fact, a number of studies from diverse geographical and cultural contexts demonstrate that patients with cancer who disclose their condition to family members are able to begin treatment earlier than those who do not (7). This section outlines the empirical evidence linking stigma and family communication to treated delays and examines the nature of the association as a further basis for research (41, 42).

Stigma negatively affects disclosure of information about cancer diagnosis to family and friends and leads to delays in help-seeking for treatment (43). The empirical literature supports a link between stigma and greater time to presentation, a longer wait for referral to cancer services after initial presentation, and a delay in adherence

to treatment after initial initiation (44). Stigma also reduces the likelihood of seeking treatment for a cancer diagnosis (45, 46). Family communication constitutes a relational context that mediates the effect of stigma on disclosure and, consequently, on treatment delays (47). Encouraging family dialogue about a cancer diagnosis may therefore mitigate the detrimental impact of stigma on treatment initiation (48, 49).

Qualitative and quantitative data demonstrate that not only does stigma discourage disclosure, but disclosure itself is linked to shorter delays in presentations, referral, and treatment adherence (50, 51). The average time from first awareness of cancer to the start of treatment and the proportions of patients in treatment 1 month after initial diagnosis are both correlated with disclosure of diagnosis to family (52, 53).

Healthcare interactions and patient–provider relationships

An underlying expectation of health service encounters is that patients will want to talk about their condition or decision to seek treatment (54, 55). This premised notion is supported by a body of empirical literature on health-care expectations and communication preferences; articulated views from stakeholders in health systems; and mandated health policy, which espouses patient-centred care and shared decision-making (56). The responsiveness of health systems to patients' treatment initiation requests, and the basis of such requests, are therefore salient considerations in the field (57, 58).

At times, however, this expectation is confounded by the actual manner in which individuals prepare for medical consultations, the nature of inquiries directed at sizes, and, consequently, the anticipated willingness to embark on prescribed treatment (59). The requested treatment initiation may also not be a primary concern for some individuals who disclose a pertinent condition (60). Empirical evidence from across low-, middle-, and high-income contexts indicates that despite expectations regarding the analysis of medical data, decisions pertaining to treatment commencement are frequently deferred (61-63).

Earlier sections have already illustrated how cancer stigma and family communication collectively influence treatment commencement (19). For example, numerous individuals postpone or forgo medical examinations, investigations, and clinical attendance altogether (1). Yet, engagements with service providers may still occur—in effect, representation without examination (64). Although data specifically targeting this pre-treatment stage of encounter remains elusive, a review of patient narratives and certain aggregate quantifications point to the coordination, quality, and content of the interaction itself as critical factors (64).

Socioeconomic and cultural moderating factors

Cancer stigma interacts with socioeconomic status (SES), culture, and community norms to further delay care and treatment (65). Stigma influences the time from symptom presentation to assistance recognition (7). Nevertheless, these social and structural factors are context-specific and cooccur with stigma and family communication when disclosure influences help-seeking behavior (66). Socioeconomic barriers—covered more comprehensively in related research—still intersect with stigma and family communication regarding assistance recognition (67). When additional barriers arise, adjustment species to fit the cancer experience person's family and community (68). With lower SES, cultural stigma, family structure, and family community variables remain more prevalent (65). Delays between symptom presentation and recognition or the process of adjustment remain relevant to context understanding but do not fall within the frames of response analysis to disclosure of the cancer experience (69). SES variables such as insurance and timing further determine care access, while informational and financial family barriers converge with stigma and family communication (70).

Strategies to reduce stigma and improve timely care

Stigma surrounding cancer is widely recognized as a major public health challenge that can hinder timely care and affect adherence to treatment (71). Accordingly, interventions targeting stigma may ease these barriers and are therefore warranted. Cancer stigma is widely recognized as a major public health challenge that contributes to avoidant behaviours such as delays in help-seeking, inability to disclose a diagnosis within families, and dropping out of treatment programmes and discontinuation of referrals after diagnosis (7). To target stigma more effectively, it is useful to consider who provides support, the content of that support, and barriers to accessing it (71). Based on the analysis of the ways in which stigma manifests, the four levels of support identified in the previous section can be translated into strategies: Individual-level interventions are aimed at increasing personal agency; family-level interventions seek to facilitate productive conversations between a patient and family members; community-level interventions focus on the alignment of beliefs and behaviour across different

social groups, as well as agreement on what constitutes healthy or unhealthy behaviours; and system-level interventions concern how to interface with the healthcare system, the roles of different actors within it, and how to make it more accessible (69, 70).

At the individual level, targeted risk communication and simple, structured messages have been shown to effectively convey prevention-related information on cancer (72). Evidence-based cancer communication training would therefore likely enhance the quality and effectiveness of public information campaigns (73). Family members also constitute the primary source of support following a diagnosis; however, timely support may be hindered if disclosure is delayed. Evidence-based health communication tailored to support disclosure of cancer has therefore been recommended (72). Support from healthcare workers, such as through public information events, may alleviate stigma and promote healthy behaviours, thereby augmenting patient–provider interactions (75-78).

Although stigma and delays are undeniably intertwined, they can be addressed separately. Streamlining access to cancer treatment lowers barriers to entry into the system and begins the treatment cascade, while remaining cognizant of the stigma and delays that may still affect the journey once contact has been made (79). Considerations at the system level include investment in additional treatment centres, patient navigators to assist with access processes, awareness campaigns highlighting the harmlessness of cancer, and peer support programs (80). Where comprehensive service provision remains lacking, the establishment of secondary and tertiary outreach programmes may reduce the perception of cancer as a death sentence (80).

Policy implications and implications for clinical practice

Cancer stigma inflicts substantial societal damage and operates as a barrier to timely cancer treatment. From a policy perspective, three approaches may contain the issue (81). First, a set of findings, accompanied by a summary of the present insights into stigma and its consequences, may be translated into government policy briefs or support materials that aim to facilitate access to cancer treatment (82). Second, guidelines or institutional policies focused on stigma reduction may be proposed for organizations such as healthcare providers or payers (83, 84). Finally, oversight agencies may incorporate stigma-related indicators into accountability or quality measurement systems for health organizations (85-87).

Four policy implications arise from the body of work outside the present focus (88). First, the stigma literature demonstrates a measurable difference in the dimensions of attitude, community, courtesy, fatalism, fear, length of delay, and blame across cancer types (7). The empirical connection between stigma and treatment initiation also holds commonality with the relationships between stigma and intention to reveal a diagnosis, envelope-giving likelihood, and continuing attention towards those affected and these same dimensions (72). Such measures may accordingly constitute additional indicators for monitoring treatment commencement associated with stigma (89). Second, given present findings suggesting that the existence of a social network capable of prompting engagement remains linked to heightened delay, indicators reflecting the strength and composition of such a network may be relevant to capturing the notion of preparation (90). Third, the distinct barriers erected by community normalisation, family authority, and limited consultation capacity for assistance factor into disclosure determinants (91). Corresponding indicators recording community acceptance and familial dominance might thus further refine the characterization of self-paced help-seeking (92).

Conclusion

Cancer diagnosis stigma remains widely prevalent and affects care pathways. The investigation focuses on the influence stigma exerts on family communication and the consequent delays in treatment. It finds that stigma fosters silence across multiple levels—less disclosure to family, tighter family gatekeeping, and reduced caregiver support. Silence emerges from anticipatory and internalized stigma. Anticipated stigma links broader stigma to delay by constraining disclosure, supporting earlier models in which stigma discourages help-seeking. Although delays in presentation and referral still appear, they differ qualitatively from disclosure-related delays. Family communication remains central to understanding care-seeking delays, whether due to stigma or somatic concerns. Family dynamics play a vital role in initiating health-seeking behaviour; thus, stigma-anxiety-treatment delay pathways, close relationships, and high caregiver involvement retain importance. Within particular contexts, anticipated and internalized stigma can play considerable roles—even exceeding the influence of diagnosis- or treatment-related anxiety. Communication remains the key mechanism for connecting stigma to patient delay and guiding further inquiry into stigma-related help-seeking more broadly.

Stigma runs through cancer at the cultural, social, and interactional levels; affected individuals call for timely diagnosis, yet stigma still encourages silence 7. Many actively conceal cancer for months, provoking anxiety and dependence on community support for navigating delays. The analysis indicates a direct connection between the stigma surrounding cancer and the commitment to silence. Future research should explore the association between stigma related to other conditions—such as mental health, HIV, or COVID-19—and delay in disclosing symptoms, seeking assistance, or commencing treatment.

References

Gros HL, Taha-Mehlitz S, Erdem S, Worni M, Henschel M, Huber AK, Gass JM, Müller A, Viehl CT, Galli R, Rodjakovic D, Simon T, Happ S, da Cunha ST, Eisner L, Steinemann D, Müller-Stich B, von Strauss Und Torney M, Klasen JM. Listening to patients: A qualitative study on diagnostic delay, coping strategies and stigma in earlyonset colorectal cancer. Colorectal Dis. 2025 Nov;27(11):e70285.

Fang J, Cheng Y, Liu Y, Yang Q. How delays in seeking medical care for cervical cancer patients are affected by their health-seeking behaviour: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2025 Aug 22;25(1):2881.

Jin Y, Zheng MC, Yang X, Chen TL, Zhang JE. Patient delay to diagnosis and its predictors among colorectal cancer patients: A cross-sectional study based on the Theory of Planned Behavior. European Journal of Oncology Nursing. 2022 Oct 1;60:102174.

Melhem SJ, Nabhani-Gebara S, Kayyali R. Latency of breast cancer stigma during survivorship and its influencing factors: A qualitative study. Frontiers in Oncology. 2023 Mar 14;13:1075298.

Charlesworth L, Ashmore R. Exploring the perceived impact of stigma on the cancer experience of people with severe mental illness. Journal of cancer survivorship. 2026 May 2:1-9.

Villalona S, Sanchez J, Mangkalard P, Best AL. Understanding disclosure decisions and communication about HPVRelated cancer: A qualitative exploration of stigma and social support. InHealthcare 2025 Apr 22 (Vol. 13, No. 9, p. 966). MDPI.

Mwobobia JM, Knettel BA, Headley J, Msoka EF, Tarimo CS, Katiti V, Juhlin E, Osazuwa-Peters N. "Let him die. He caused it": A qualitative study on cancer stigma in Tanzania. PLOS Global Public Health. 2024 Jun 12;4(6):e0003283.

Solberg M, Berg GV, Andreassen HK. Lost in the loop-a qualitative study on patient experiences of care in standardized cancer patient pathways. BMC Health Services Research. 2023 Dec 7;23(1):1371.

England T, Harper P, Crosby T, Gartner D, Arruda EF, Foley K, Williamson I. Modelling lung cancer diagnostic pathways using discrete event simulation. Journal of Simulation. 2023 Jan 2;17(1):94-104.

Wheelwright SJ, Russ S, Mold F, Armes J, Harder H. Symptomatic presentation of cancer in primary care: a scoping review of patients' experiences and needs during the cancer diagnostic pathway. BMJ open. 2024 Mar 1;14(3):e076527.

Smith AB, Girgis A, Taylor N, Pearce A, Liu J, Shepherd HL, Wu VS, Garvey G, Kirsten L, Zakhary I, Ee C. Stepby-step: a clinical pathway for stepped care management of fear of cancer recurrence—results of a three-round online Delphi consensus process with Australian health professionals and researchers. Journal of Cancer Survivorship. 2026 Apr;20(2):766-80.

Soffer M. Cancer-related stigma in the USA and Israeli mass media: an exploratory study of structural stigma. Journal of Cancer Survivorship. 2022 Feb;16(1):213-22.

Wu J, Zeng N, Wang L, Yao L. The stigma in patients with breast cancer: a concept analysis. Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing. 2023 Oct 1;10(10):100293.

Heley K, Vanderpool RC, Vedham V. Global cancer stigma research: a US National Cancer Institute workshop report. JNCI Monographs. 2024 Jun 1;2024(63):4-10.

Larkin D, Birtle AJ, Bradley L, Dey P, Martin CR, Pilkington M, Romero-Rivas C. A systematic review of disease related stigmatization in patients living with prostate cancer. PLoS One. 2022 Feb 11;17(2):e0261557.

Warner ET, Park ER, Luberto CM, Rabin J, Perez GK, Ostroff JS. Internalized stigma among cancer patients enrolled in a smoking cessation trial: The role of cancer type and associations with psychological distress. Psycho-Oncology. 2022 May;31(5):753-60.

Harsanyi H, Cuthbert C, Schulte F. The stigma surrounding opioid use as a barrier to cancer-pain management: an overview of experiences with fear, shame, and poorly controlled pain in the context of advanced cancer. Current Oncology. 2023 Jun 17;30(6):5835-48.

Johnson SE, Samson M. Cancer stigma: the need for policy and programmatic action. JNCI Monographs. 2024 Jun 1;2024(63):45-50.

Bandieri E, Borelli E, Gilioli F, Bigi S, Mucciarini C, Ferrari U, Eliardo S, Pinto L, Porro CA, Efficace F, Luppi M. Stigma of palliative care among patients with advanced cancer and their caregivers on early palliative care. Cancers. 2023 Jul 18;15(14):3656.

Lemmer D, Moessner M, Arnaud N, Baumeister H, Mutter A, Klemm SL, König E, Plener P, Rummel-Kluge C, Thomasius R, Kaess M. An online vignette experiment on stigma and help-seeking attitudes towards five mental health problems in adolescents and emerging adults. Scientific Reports. 2025 Aug 15;15(1):29956.

Murphy DJ, Mackenzie CS. Experiential avoidance moderates the degree to which internalized stigma affects older adults' attitudes and intentions to seek mental health services. Stigma and health. 2025 Feb;10(1):21.

Wu CW, Chen M, Zhang YX, Dai JJ, Zhou QX, Guo QH, Zhou YQ, Song J. The Role of Help-Seeking Motivation in Diagnostic Delay Among Patients with Schizophrenia: A Mixed-Methods Study.

Zeng HW, Sung CH. From Self-Affliction to Silence: Self-Stigmatization as a Psychological Pathway Shaping HelpSeeking in Emerging Adults, 2026

Yu BC, Chio FH, Chan KK, Mak WW, Zhang G, Vogel D, Lai MH. Associations between public and self-stigma of help-seeking with help-seeking attitudes and intention: A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach. Journal of Counseling Psychology. 2023 Jan;70(1):90.

Campbell GM. "The Diagnosis Is Just the Tip of the Iceberg": Family Storytelling about Hereditary Cancer. Texas A&M University; 2019.

Huang YJ, Acquati C, Cheung M. Family communication and coping among racial-ethnic minority cancer patients: A systematic review. Health & Social Care in the Community. 2022 May;30(3):e605-20.

Krieger T, Salm S, Dresen A, Cecon N. Cancer patients' experiences and preferences when receiving bad news: a qualitative study. Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology. 2023 Jul;149(7):3859-70.

Cui P, Yang M, Hu H, Cheng C, Chen X, Shi J, Li S, Chen C, Zhang H. The impact of caregiver burden on quality of life in family caregivers of patients with advanced cancer: a moderated mediation analysis of the role of psychological distress and family resilience. BMC Public Health. 2024 Mar 15;24(1):817.

Cui P, Shi J, Li S, Getu MA, Wang R, Chen C. Family resilience and its influencing factors among advanced cancer patients and their family caregivers: a multilevel modeling analysis. BMC cancer. 2023 Jul 4;23(1):623.

Yoo JH. Understanding the informal help-seeking process of Korean emerging adults living in the US: Influence of the family context (Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park).

Lyu N, Xue QY, Li X, Yan S, Chen M, Hou H, Luo D, Qian C, Zhang P, Zhou Y, Yang BX. The role of family environment and parental factors: a person-oriented study of adolescents' psychological distress and help-seeking patterns. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health. 2025 Nov 24;19(1):134.

Bye HH, Måseidvåg FL, Harris SM. Men's Help-Seeking Willingness and Disclosure of Depression: Experimental Evidence for the Role of Pluralistic Ignorance. Sex Roles. 2025 Apr;91(4):23.

Yu BC, Chio FH, Cheung RY, Kakani K, Mak WW. How does family face relate to intention to seek therapist-guided and digital self-guided psychological interventions? mediating effects of interdependent stigma and help-seeking attitudes. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 2025 Sep 22:1-5.

Kim S, Kim D. Mental health help-seeking among Korean men: the influence of stigma, masculine norms, and face. BMC psychology. 2025 May 2;13(1):461.

Scheinfeld E. The role of shame, stigma, and family communication patterns in the decision to disclose STIs to parents in order to seek support. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2023 Mar 8;20(6):4742.

Clifford LM, Brothers SL, Lang A. Self-disclosure patterns among children and youth with epilepsy: impact of perceived-stigma. Adolescent Health, Medicine and Therapeutics. 2023 Dec 31:27-43.

Pham A. The Relationship Between Culture, Anticipated Stigma, Disclosure of Chronic Illness, and Quality of Life (Doctoral dissertation, Alliant International University), 2025

Costanza A, Favre S, Richard-Lepouriel H. Suicidality and mental health stigma and their impact on suicidal ideation disclosure: a narrative review. Journal of Affective Disorders Reports. 2026 Mar 11:101054.

Bingaman AR, Hamilton AB, Olivero R, Crowell CS, Fair CD. Literally the hardest part about having a positive child is disclosure": Child and family stigma management strategies among US parents of internationally adopted children with perinatally-acquired HIV. SSM-Qualitative Research in Health. 2022 Dec 1;2:100122.

Moorhouse M, Goode M, Cotte J, Widney J. Helping those that hide: Anticipated stigmatization drives concealment and a destructive cycle of debt. Journal of Marketing Research. 2023 Dec;60(6):1135-53.

Lustberg MB, Kuderer NM, Desai A, Bergerot C, Lyman GH. Mitigating long-term and delayed adverse events associated with cancer treatment: implications for survivorship. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology. 2023 Aug;20(8):527-42.

Mwobobia JM, Knettel BA, Headley J, Msoka EF, Tarimo CS, Katiti V, Juhlin E, Osazuwa-Peters N. "Let him die. He caused it": A qualitative study on cancer stigma in Tanzania. PLOS Global Public Health. 2024 Jun 12;4(6):e0003283.

Akakpo MG, Roberts EO, Annobil R, Aboagye AS. Perceptions about the causes and treatment of cancer–A crosssectional survey of university students in Ghana. Preventive Medicine Reports. 2023 Apr 1;32:102160.

Bu L, Chen X, Zheng S, Fan G. Construction of the structural equation model of stigma, self-disclosure, social support, and quality of life of breast cancer patients after surgery—a multicenter study. Frontiers in Oncology. 2023 May 18;13:1142728.

Hasan Shiri F, Mohtashami J, Manoochehri H, Rohani C. Cancer stigma and its consequences and influencing factors in Iranian society: a qualitative study. Journal of Qualitative Research in Health Sciences. 2022 Sep 1;11(3):180-8.

Tsuchiya M, Adachi K, Kumagai K, Kondo N, Kimata A. Cancer disclosure to friends: Survey on psychological distress and perceived social support provision. European Journal of Cancer Care. 2022 Sep;31(5):e13332.

Tang X, Chen SQ, Huang JH, Deng CF, Zou JQ, Zuo J. Assessing the current situation and the influencing factors affecting perceived stigma among older patients after leukemia diagnosis. World Journal of Psychiatry. 2024 Jun 19;14(6):812.

Abdulah DM, Ahmad ST, Kadhim MH. Kurdish families' perspectives towards diagnosis disclosure of cancer disease: a cross-sectional study. Ethics, Medicine and Public Health. 2024 Jan 1;32:100992.

Chen C, Cheng G, Chen X, Yu L. Information disclosure to cancer patients in Mainland China: A meta-analysis. Psycho-Oncology. 2023 Mar;32(3):342-55.

Chen MC, Lin HR. The experiences of family caregivers in response to a dementia diagnosis disclosure. BMC psychiatry. 2022 Jul 15;22(1):475.

Benito-Lozano J, Arias-Merino G, Gómez-Martínez M, Ancochea-Díaz A, Aparicio-García A, Posada De la Paz M, Alonso-Ferreira V. Diagnostic process in rare diseases: determinants associated with diagnostic delay. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022 May 26;19(11):6456.

Atri A, Dickerson BC, Clevenger C, Karlawish J, Knopman D, Lin PJ, Norman M, Onyike C, Sano M, Scanland S, Carrillo M. Alzheimer's Association clinical practice guideline for the Diagnostic Evaluation, Testing, Counseling, and Disclosure of Suspected Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders (DETeCD-ADRD): Executive summary of recommendations for primary care. Alzheimer's & Dementia. 2025 Jun;21(6):e14333.

Reiss AB, de Levante Raphael D, Chin NA, Sinha V. The physician's Alzheimer's disease management guide: Early detection and diagnosis of cognitive impairment, Alzheimer's disease and related dementia. AIMS Public Health. 2022 Sep 27;9(4):661.

Brown CE, Marshall AR, Snyder CR, Cueva KL, Pytel CC, Jackson SY, Golden SH, Campelia GD, Horne DJ, Doll KM, Curtis JR. Perspectives about racism and patient-clinician communication among black adults with serious illness. JAMA network open. 2023 Jul 5;6(7):e2321746.

Resnicow K, Catley D, Goggin K, Hawley S, Williams GC. Shared decision making in health care: theoretical perspectives for why it works and for whom. Medical Decision Making. 2022 Aug;42(6):755-64.

Sandmann S, Riepenhausen S, Plagwitz L, Varghese J. Systematic analysis of ChatGPT, Google search and Llama 2 for clinical decision support tasks. Nature communications. 2024 Mar 6;15(1):2050.

Rosa WE, Izumi S, Sullivan DR, Lakin J, Rosenberg AR, Creutzfeldt CJ, Lafond D, Tjia J, Cotter V, Wallace C, Sloan DE. Advance care planning in serious illness: a narrative review. Journal of pain and symptom management. 2023 Jan 1;65(1):e63-78. sciencedirect.com

Eloranta S, Boman M. Predictive models for clinical decision making: Deep dives in practical machine learning. Journal of internal medicine. 2022 Aug;292(2):278-95.

Chen C, Huang J, Chen R, Lv M, Huang M, Guo L, Lin Y. The Experiences and Needs of Chinese Parents of Children With Cancer in Fertility Preservation Decision-Making: A Qualitative Study. European Journal of Cancer Care. 2026;2026(1):7668282.

Qazi S, Ahmad A, Shah SI, Khan AU, Amjad MU, Jehan AM, Ahmad D, Saman G, Rahman J, Atif E, Mazhar MA. Family collective agency in career decision-making among medical and dental students: a qualitative intersectional study in Peshawar, Pakistan. Frontiers in Medicine. 2026 Feb 10;13:1755128.

Chau M, Ofori-Manteaw B, Spuur K, Ago JL. On the same wavelength: rethinking fit in radiography research collaboration. Radiography. 2026 May 1;32(4):103402.

Židonė G, Krušinskas R. From policy to outcome: How economic conditions and national funding affect graduation rates: Case of Lithuanian universities. Economies. 2025 Jun 12;13(6):170.

You X. Fertility Norms as Unifying Framework: Coordinating Migration and Fertility Decisions in China′ s Internal Migration. Population, Space and Place. 2026 Mar;32(2):e70230.

Formagini T, Poague C, O'Neal A, Brooks JV. "When I heard the word palliative": Obscuring and clarifying factors affecting the stigma around palliative care referral in oncology. JCO Oncology Practice. 2022 Jan;18(1):e72-9. Chaturvedi SK, Strohschein FJ, Saraf G, Loiselle CG. Communication in cancer care: psycho-social, interactional, and cultural issues. A general overview and the example of India. Frontiers in psychology. 2014 Nov 17;5:1332. Bourgeois A, Horrill T, Mollison A, Stringer E, Lambert LK, Stajduhar K. Barriers to cancer treatment for people experiencing socioeconomic disadvantage in high-income countries: a scoping review. BMC health services research. 2024 May 28;24(1):670.

Mwachande C, Olet DA, Acuda SW, Nkhoma MM, Natuhwera G. Sociocultural factors influencing late presentation for health care among cervical cancer patients: A qualitative exploratory study. Psycho-Oncology. 2025 Jul;34(7):e70229. [HTML]

Yan C, Ai J, Cao T, Jiang T. Cultural Capital, Stigma, Class, and Hospice Care Access Among Low-Income Patients With Cancer. JAMA Network Open. 2026. jamanetwork.com

Salmon-Gayle S. Cancer-Related Stigma, Attitudes, and Cultural Beliefs on Intentions to Receive Lung Cancer Screening in African American Female Smokers. 2025. waldenu.edu

Nwakasi C, Esiaka D, Nweke C, Chidebe RC, Villamar W, de Medeiros K. "We don't do any of these things because we are a death-denying culture": Sociocultural perspectives of Black and Latinx cancer caregivers. Palliative & supportive care. 2024 Oct;22(5):1364-9. cambridge.org

Adegbesan A, Akingbola A, Tundealao S, Afolabi BO, Adekoya SO, Abraham MI, Udeobi O, Oduntan OO, Oyeyemi AA, Shagaya U, Chuku J. Cancer navigation in Africa: challenges, impacts, and future directions.

Supportive Care in Cancer. 2026 Mar;34(3):205.
Noel L. A Phenomenological Approach to Understanding How Women Make Breast Cancer Treatment Decisions within the Context of Communities. 2016. [PDF]
Amini S, Jalali A, Jalali R. Perceived social support and family members of patients with mental disorders: A mixed method study. Frontiers in public health. 2023. frontiersin.org
Witt S, Schuett K, Wiegand-Grefe S, Boettcher… J. Living with a rare disease-experiences and needs in pediatric patients and their parents. Orphanet journal of rare …. 2023. springer.com
Cohen AS, Berrios CD, Zion TN, Barrett CM, Moore R, Boillat E, Belden B, Farrow EG, Thiffault I, Zuccarelli BD, Pastinen T. Genomic answers for kids: toward more equitable access to genomic testing for rare diseases in rural populations. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2024 May 2;111(5):825-32. cell.com
Brandt M, Johannsen L, Inhestern L, Bergelt C. Parents as informal caregivers of children and adolescents with spinal muscular atrophy: a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative data on the psychosocial situation, caregiver burden, and family needs. Orphanet journal of rare diseases. 2022 Jul 19;17(1):274. springer.com

Cheng AWY, Lai CYY. Parental stress in families of children with special educational needs: a systematic review. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2023. frontiersin.org
Friedemann Smith C, Lunn H, Wong G, Nicholson BD. Optimising GPs' communication of advice to facilitate patients' self-care and prompt follow-up when the diagnosis is uncertain: a realist review of 'safety-netting'in primary care. BMJ quality & safety. 2022 Jul;31(7):541-54. bmj.com
Bamodu OA, Chung CC. Cancer care disparities: overcoming barriers to cancer control in low-and middle-income countries. JCO Global Oncology. 2024. ascopubs.org
Mansour R, Abdel-Razeq H, Al-Hussaini M, Shamieh O, Al-Ibraheem A, Al-Omari A, Mansour AH. Systemic barriers to optimal cancer care in resource-limited countries: Jordanian healthcare as an example. Cancers. 2024 Mar 11;16(6):1117. mdpi.com
Ogunkorode A. Health-seeking behaviors of women with advanced breast cancer in southwestern Nigeria (Doctoral dissertation), 2019.
Ayub AO, Iliya RS. Sociological Implications of Cancer Treatment on Individuals and Communities. Journal of Management and Social Sciences. 2023;12(2). fountainjournals.com
Ahmed Hassan NH NM. In Her Words: The Silent Battles of Egyptian Women with Breast Cancer. 2026. aucegypt.edu
Afungchwi GM, Tum EM, Elit L, Cancer Quality Improvement Team at Mbingo Hospital. Navigating cancer care in Cameroon: a theory-guided inquiry on patient experiences at Mbingo Baptist Hospital. BMC cancer. 2025 May 28;25(1):958. springer.com
Mavyan R, Esmail A, Mavyan H. The Impacts of Mental Health and Physical Injuries Resulting From Abuse:
Analyzing Barriers to Healthcare Access for Female Victims of Abuse. Policy. . [HTML]
Dunlea L. Integrating social determinants of health in cancer screening. 2024. bu.edu
Song L, Sun X, Li C, Li B et al. Stigma experience and coping strategies in stroke survivors: a qualitative study. Frontiers in Psychology. 2025. frontiersin.org
Tang WZ, Yusuf A, Jia K, Iskandar YH, Mangantig E, Mo XS, Wei TF, Cheng SL. Correlates of stigma for patients with breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Supportive Care in Cancer. 2023 Jan;31(1):55. researchgate.net
Xie XM, Gao J, Bai DX, Chen H et al. Assessment tools for stigma in breast cancer patients based on COSMIN guidelines: a systematic review. Supportive Care in Cancer. 2024. [HTML]
Harerimana A, Pillay JD, Mchunu G. The pitfalls of "toughing it out": mapping stoic attitudes in cancer patients. A scoping review. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy. 2025 Dec;28(4):775-90. springer.com
Yang H, Ni R, Qiao N, Wang Z. Understanding patients' self-management after enterostomy: knowledge, attitudes, and practices in a cross-sectional study. Frontiers in Public Health. 2026. frontiersin.org
Akdeniz Kudubeş A, Çınar Özbay S. Development and Psychometric Analysis of a Stigmatization Scale for Childhood Cancers for Adolescents. Psycho-Oncology. 2025. [HTML].