The Social Burden of Kidney Failure: Dialysis, Family Support, Stigma, and Economic Hardship

Ibrahim Abdul Jaleel Yamani[1] ,Izzeldeen Abdullah Alnaimi[2], Ahed J. Alkhatib[3]

[1]Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU)

[2]Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU)

[3]Jordan University of Science & Technology

Abstract: The social cost of kidney failure goes beyond the biological failure of kidney function and the technical cost of dialysis. The manuscript examines the sociomedical components of kidney failure with an emphasis on dialysis scheduling and family backing. The daily lives of patients who undergo either hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis are disrupted. Your work, studies, travel, social participation, time and money management may be affected. Family members help transport as well as provide emotional, treatment, decision, and long-term care support. Parental substance use disorder can negatively affect family functioning. Experiencing kidney failure is not that simple. This may lead to disclosure issues, feeling of isolation, perceived disability, and lack of community integration. Many people worry about the expensive treatment and transport and medicine, the loss of work and productivity and the bureaucratic obstacle to receiving assistance. According to the author, kidney failure is not just a medical problem but also a social and economic problem for the patient, caregiver and community. For an effective response, we need to care for patients in a manner that is patient oriented, involve them in decision making, care givers need transportation, job protection, community-based services, stigma reduction, and wider policies to lessen financial toxicity and improve quality of life...

Keywords: Kidney failure; Dialysis; Family support; Stigma; Economic hardship

Introduction

Kidney failure occurs when the kidneys can no longer maintain metabolic homeostasis and functions must be replaced artificially (1). The social burden of kidney failure encompasses the complex challenges faced by many patients undergoing dialysis, including articulation of treatment options, access to reliable information, disruptions to daily life, care-seeking behavior, perceived stigma, and economic hardship (2-4). Among individuals with end-stage kidney disease, the burden constitutes a genuine social determinant of health (5).

The following questions guide the inquiry (6). What are the modalities of hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis? (7). How are these regimens scheduled, what determines the frequency and duration of treatments, and how do patients manage financial considerations? (8). On what social dimensions do they affect family dynamics, working and learning opportunities, community mobility, and time management? (9). How do patients interact with medical staff, what aspects of treatment decision-making involve family members, and what uncertainties about dialysis persist? (10). At the psychosocial level, how do these regimens affect mood, identity, participation in social activities, and the desire to seek assistance? (11). How are these dimensions linked to the quality of family relationships and overall quality of life? What coping resources, both material and social, are available and deemed sufficient? (11).

Kidney failure and its treatment emerge as sensitive topics for family members; what participatory arrangements satisfactorily address the subject? (12). In the face of inevitable changes in work, education, and financial planning, how can continuity be maintained and support be shared? (13). At an early stage of the disease, what actions facilitate the acquisition of knowledge and establishment of networks, enhance access to alternate

treatment methods, and promote prompt referral to transplant centers? (14). Kidney disease is perceived as conferring a kind of disability, yet patients often express reluctance to disclose their condition; what factors motivate or inhibit disclosure in a given situation, and what channels are preferred (15-17).

Dialysis: Medical Regimens and Social Implications

Kidney failure is a medical and social problem associated with many human, community, and societal consequences, affecting quality of life and life expectancy (18). Treatment of kidney failure requires either kidney transplantation or life-long dialysis support (19). Several treatment modalities are available; the choice of modality has important implications for patients' daily lives and social or family interactions (20). Most patients receiving chronic dialysis must make substantial adjustments to their daily routines, in areas such as transportation, job holding, mobility, time allocation, and hydration, while adapting their human interactions and social participation (21-23).

Family Support Networks: Caregiving Dynamics and Burden Sharing

Family members play a vital role in providing support for patients with kidney failure and dialysis (24). Family caregiving has received considerable attention owing to its prevalence, the burden it can impose on caregivers, and the potential for family dynamics to influence how patients manage their illness (25). Family members often end up helping with a range of tasks, including transportation, assistance with treatment at home, planning, and emotional support, creating near-term and long-term burdens for both patients and caregivers (26). Caregivers generally experience a greater perception of burden when they view their family member's illness as serious and progressive (27-29).

Caregivers draw on a variety of family and community resources in providing support, and people have varying perceptions of the sufficiency of this help (30). Supportive arrangements among family members can enhance stability and alleviate intergenerational transfer of responsibilities (3133). Outside of social networks with family members, caregivers also report receiving supportive contact from peers, religious organizations, and community agencies (34, 35).

Stigma and Social Perception: Barriers to Integration

Individuals requiring dialysis face significant challenges related to severe chronic illness, affecting the safety, comfort, independence, and predictability of life (36). Patients report a range of concerns, including treatment access, the history and seriousness of their condition, and the mutually aggravating interplay of finances and health (37). These challenges interfere with patients' capacity to engage effectively in career, school, and community activities, adding to their overall burden of evaluation and loss (38). Social stigma and perceptions of the disease act as barriers to full integration for dialysis patients, reinforcing isolation and further adding to their burden (39). Dialysis is widely viewed by both the public and patients as a prospective cause of discrimination and segregation, and patients commonly experience disclosure dilemmas related to their dialysis status (40, 41).

Economic Hardship: Cost, Access, and Financial Coping Strategies

Financial hardship emerges as a significant social burden among patients with kidney failure, reflecting both the direct economic impacts of treatment and broader socioeconomic disadvantage (42). Dialysis itself imposes substantial costs for consumables and medications, often coupled with transportation expenses attributable to limited rural access (43). The economic toll further deepens in low-resource settings where facility-based treatment remains essential (44). Disabled by illness, many individuals cannot obtain paid work or must seek unremunerated leave to attend regular sessions (45). Even among those potentially eligible for financial assistance, severe bureaucratic obstacles hamper access to relief, accentuating the need for wider-scale community support and service improvement (46-48).

Policy and Community Interventions: Mitigating Social Burdens

Those afflicted with kidney failure face difficulties on multiple fronts (49). These include the direct and indirect cost of treatment, stigma from the public and self-stigma, impairment and social support for families, travel to and from treatments, caregiver time displacement and accompanying practices, level of collective family utility and engagement, awareness of assistance programs and their sufficiency, the extent and allocation of community-based exchange, coping with material scarcity, work cessation, and job insecurity and their intergenerational impact (50, 51). Community supports constitute an integral part of health systems (51). Such initiatives help mitigate deterioration and promote optimal operation within the system (52). Co-ordinated multi-dimensional efforts can significantly lighten the burden and enhance the quality of life of those afflicted (53).

Support for family members takes multiple forms (54). Reciprocal arrangements of labour and assistance across family members extend beyond relational configurations such as intimate partners, parents, and children (55). For those who lack support from family, neighbourhood-based peer groups and extended-group collective arrangements serve as substitutes (56). Collective sharing of time and resources remains a key ingredient for community health, and non-commercial bases frequently harbour extensive and profound exchanges that intersect with familial and non-familial arrangements (57, 58). Prior to embarking on a treatment course, mechanisms to anticipate and communicate the likelihood of future challenges and potential strategies for building social supports help prepare individuals and families, thereby contributing to better outcomes (59-61).

Policies at the community, municipal, and national levels include treatment coverage, subsidisation of various service, and community-based assistance (62). The community level constitutes the more scalable route and serves as a necessary complement to more elevated arrangements (63, 64). Specific proposals encompass group-based caregiver training, expedited respite options, transportation for those living alone, solutions for job protection and re-insertion, and facilitated access to shared community resources tailor-fit to enhance well-being (65, 66).

Conclusion

Kidney failure incurs a social burden on patients and families that extends far beyond the medical regimen of dialysis. Four key dimensions of this burden emerge from studies of diverse populations.

The process of choosing among dialysis modalities and treatment schedules is complicated by practical considerations, prejudice, lack of information, and inadequate patient-centered care. The decision, still framed as a medical one, can be as much about family dynamics and caregiving as about health. Patients perceive disparate psychosocial impacts among the various modalities and express the desire for greater involvement in the decision-making process.

Family caregiving plays a crucial role in helping patients to navigate and adhere to intricate medical regimens. Patterns of assistance, reciprocity, and exchange across other domains influence overall burden and may determine whether patients seek help from formal organizations. Work, education, social participation, and financial planning receive relatively less attention on the caregiving side, perhaps indicating a concerted effort to maintain these activities despite the illness. Caregivers report needing more family member support as survival continues beyond expected limits.

References

DePasquale N, Cabacungan A, L. Ephraim P, Lewis-Boyér LP et al. Family Members' Experiences With Dialysis and Kidney Transplantation. 2019. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Johnston-Webber C, Bencomo-Bermudez I, Wharton G, van Kessel R, Barone S, Muntó FB, Chadban S, Sanchez JJ, Kocks JW, Obolensky K, Sandow P. A conceptual framework to assess the health, socioeconomic and environmental burden of chronic kidney disease. Health Policy. 2025 Feb 1;152:105244. sciencedirect.com

Chesnaye NC, Ortiz A, Zoccali C, Stel VS, Jager KJ. The impact of population ageing on the burden of chronic kidney disease. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2024 Sep;20(9):569-85. [HTML]

Combe C, Alencar de Pinho N, Guedes M, Frimat L, Fouque D, Liabeuf S, Niang A, Kanjanabuch T, Stengel B, Massy ZA, Pecoits-Filho R. Optimal patient care in advanced chronic kidney disease progressing to kidney failure. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2026 Feb 10:1-6. waltersport.com

Cerda J, Kashani K, Ostermann M, Basu RK, Bell S, Cantaluppi V, Chakaravarthi R, Costa JM, Claure-Del Granado R, Macedo E, Rhee H. The global epidemiology of acute kidney injury: challenges and opportunities. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2026 Mar;22(3):179-98. waltersport.com

Kaplan JM, Niu J, Ho V, Winkelmayer WC, Erickson KF. A comparison of US Medicare expenditures for hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology. 2022 Nov 1;33(11):2059-70. nih.gov

Eroglu E, Heimbürger O, Lindholm B. Peritoneal dialysis patient selection from a comorbidity perspective. Seminars in dialysis. 2022. [HTML]

Karkar A, Wilkie M. Peritoneal dialysis in the modern era. Peritoneal Dialysis International. 2023. sagepub.com

Bello AK, Okpechi IG, Osman MA, Cho Y, Cullis B, Htay H, Jha V, Makusidi MA, McCulloch M, Shah N, Wainstein M. Epidemiology of peritoneal dialysis outcomes. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2022 Dec;18(12):779-93. nih.gov

Jonny, Violetta L, Kusumaningrum VF. Peritoneal dialysis in Indonesia: current status, challenges and prospects. Peritoneal Dialysis International. 2022 Jul;42(4):428-33. [HTML]

Ho YF, Hsu PT, Yang KL. Peritoneal dialysis after shared decision-making: the disparity between reality and patient expectations. BMC nursing. 2022. springer.com

Shohet M, H. Nguyen N, D. Stern L, S. Waikar S et al. Structural and Psychosocial Challenges Among Underserved Patients Receiving Hemodialysis During and Beyond the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Study. 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Ania-González N, Martín-Martín J, Amezqueta-Goñi P, Vázquez-Calatayud M. The needs of families who care for individuals with kidney failure on comprehensive conservative care: A qualitative systematic review. Journal of renal care. 2022 Dec;48(4):230-42. wiley.com

Davison SN, Pommer W, Brown MA, Douglas CA, Gelfand SL, Gueco IP, Hole BD, Homma S, Kazancıoğlu RT, Kitamura H, Koubar SH. Conservative kidney management and kidney supportive care: core components of integrated care for people with kidney failure. Kidney international. 2024 Jan 1;105(1):35-45. sciencedirect.com

Thorsteinsdottir B, Suarez NR, Curtis S, Beck AT, Hargraves I, Shaw K, Wong SP, Hickson LJ, Boehmer KR, Amberg B, Dahlen E. Older patients with advanced chronic kidney disease and their perspectives on prognostic information: a qualitative study. Journal of general internal medicine. 2022 Jan 26;37(5):1031. nih.gov

Rhee CM, Edwards D, Ahdoot RS, Burton JO, Conway PT, Fishbane S, Gallego D, Gallieni M, Gedney N, Hayashida G, Ingelfinger J. Living well with kidney disease and effective symptom management: consensus conference proceedings. Kidney International Reports. 2022 Sep 1;7(9):1951-63. sciencedirect.com

Keller MS, Mavilian C, Altom KL, Erickson KF, Drudi LM, Woo K. Barriers to implementing the kidney disease outcomes quality initiative end-stage kidney disease life plan guideline. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2023 Jul 5;38(14):3198. nih.gov

Genereux D, Fan L, Brownlee K. The Psychosocial and Somatic Effects of Relocation from Remote Canadian First Nation Communities to Urban Centres on Indigenous Peoples with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD). 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Francis A, Harhay MN, Ong AC, Tummalapalli SL, Ortiz A, Fogo AB, Fliser D, Roy-Chaudhury P, Fontana M, Nangaku M, Wanner C. Chronic kidney disease and the global public health agenda: an international consensus. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2024 Jul;20(7):473-85. nature.com

Vanholder R, Annemans L, Braks M, Brown EA, Pais P, Purnell TS, Sawhney S, Scholes-Robertson N, Stengel B, Tannor EK, Tesar V. Inequities in kidney health and kidney care. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2023 Nov;19(11):694-708. [HTML]

Romagnani P, Agarwal R, Chan JC, Levin A, Kalyesubula R, Karam S, Nangaku M, Rodríguez-Iturbe B, Anders HJ. Chronic kidney disease. Nature reviews Disease primers. 2025 Jan 30;11(1):8. [HTML]

Sever MS, Luyckx V, Tonelli M, Kazancioglu R, Rodgers D, Gallego D, Tuglular S, Vanholder R. Disasters and kidney care: pitfalls and solutions. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2023 Oct;19(10):672-86. [HTML]

Borg R, Carlson N, Søndergaard J, Persson F. The growing challenge of chronic kidney disease: an overview of current knowledge. International Journal of Nephrology. 2023;2023(1):9609266. wiley.com

E. Van Pilsum Rasmussen S, Eno A, G. Bowring M, Lifshitz R et al. Kidney Dyads: Caregiver Burden and Relationship Strain Among Partners of Dialysis and Transplant Patients. 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Lasanthika C, Janlöv AC, Amarasekara T, Larsson H. Caring burden and coping with haemodialysis: a qualitative study with family caregivers in Sri Lanka. 2024. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Sousa H, Ribeiro O, Christensen AJ, Figueiredo D. Designing family-based interventions in kidney failure: The perspectives of the triad'patients on haemodialysis/family caregivers/healthcare professionals. British Journal of Health Psychology. 2023 Sep;28(3):672-89. wiley.com

Li X, Luo JK. Perceptions and attitudes of kidney supportive care among elderly patients with advanced chronic kidney disease and dialysis healthcare professionals in China: a …. Bmc Nephrology. 2023. springer.com

Snead CM, Kimmitt RA, Caskey FJ, Darling J, Rooshenas L, Coast J, Morton RL, Selman LE, Hole B. How do older patients with advanced kidney disease, and their family members, understand kidney function and failure? A qualitative study. BMC nephrology. 2025 Dec;26(1):1-2. springer.com

Safi F, Areshtanab HN, Ghafourifard M, Ebrahimi H. The association between self-efficacy, perceived social support, and family resilience in patients undergoing hemodialysis: a cross-sectional study. BMC nephrology. 2024. springer.com

Choi H, Reblin M, Litzelman K. Conceptualizing family caregivers' use of community support services: A scoping review. The Gerontologist. 2024. nih.gov

Vasan A, Darko O, Fortin K, Scribano PV, Kenyon CC. Community resource connection for pediatric caregivers with unmet social needs: a qualitative study. Academic pediatrics. 2022 Apr 1;22(3):461-9. nih.gov

Nadash P, Qu S, Tell EJ. Supporting diverse family caregivers: key stakeholder perspectives. Health promotion practice. 2025. sagepub.com

Daynes-Kearney R, Gallagher S. Online support groups for family caregivers: scoping review. Journal of medical Internet research. 2023. jmir.org

Reinhard SC, Caldera S, Houser A, Choula RB. Valuing the invaluable 2023 update: strengthening supports for family caregivers. AARP Public Policy Institute. 2023 Mar 8;10:1-32. archrespite.org

Hall S, Rohatinsky N, Holtslander L, Peacock S. Caregivers to older adults require support: A scoping review of their priorities. Health & social care in the community. 2022 Nov;30(6):e3789-809. wiley.com

McKeaveney C, Noble H, Courtney AE, Griffin S, Gill P, Johnston W, Maxwell AP, Teasdale F, Reid J. Dialysis, distress, and difficult conversations: living with a kidney transplant. InHealthcare 2022 Jun 23 (Vol. 10, No. 7, p. 1177). MDPI. mdpi.com

House TR, Wightman A, Rosenberg AR, Sayre G, Abdel-Kader K, Wong SP. Challenges to shared decision making about treatment of advanced CKD: a qualitative study of patients and clinicians. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 2022 May 1;79(5):657-66. nih.gov

Noce EM, Brereton L, Zorzanello M, Aklilu A, Anders E, Bernal M, Sundararajan A, Dahl NK, Kodali R, Patel DM. Dialysis patient experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic: a survey study. Kidney Medicine. 2023 Jul 1;5(7):100673. sciencedirect.com

Gebrie MH, Asfaw HM, Bilchut WH, Lindgren H, Wettergren L. Patients' experience of undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. An interview study from Ethiopia. Plos one. 2023 May 30;18(5):e0284422. plos.org

Correa-Rotter R, Wu MS, Hull R, Esposito C, Reichel H, Chadban S, Garcia Sanchez JJ, Pentakota S, Kularatne T, Fifer S, Elsayed HM. PaCE CKD: a multinational survey of health-related quality of life in patients with chronic kidney disease and caregivers. Advances in Therapy. 2026 Feb;43(2):791-816. springer.com

Lu Y, Zhai S, Liu Q, Dai C et al. Correlates of symptom burden in renal dialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Renal failure. 2024. tandfonline.com

Shek Nam Ng M, Ngo Sheung Chan D, Cheng Q, Miaskowski C et al. Association between Financial Hardship and Symptom Burden in Patients Receiving Maintenance Dialysis: A Systematic Review. 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

C. Walker R, Howard K, Tong A, C. Palmer S et al. The economic considerations of patients and caregivers in choice of dialysis modality. 2016. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Ng MSN, Chan DNS, So WKW. Health inequity associated with financial hardship among patients with kidney failure. Plos one. 2023. plos.org

Kim S, Perry LM, Mossman B, Dunn A et al. Financial burden and physical and emotional quality of life in COPD, heart failure, and kidney failure. Plos one. 2024. plos.org

Roberti J, Alonso JP, Blas L, May C. How do social and economic vulnerabilities shape the work of participating in care? Everyday experiences of people living with kidney failure in Argentina. Social Science & Medicine. 2022. [HTML]

Ogieuhi IJ, Aderinto N, Olatunji G, Kokori E, Ajekiigbe VO, Ayodeji KB, Babalola AE, Muogbo I, Olalekan AB, Venkatraman A, Oyewo O. The socioeconomic impact of kidney disease on African families: a scoping review. Discover Public Health. 2025 Jan 16;22(1):17. springer.com

Powathil GG, Kr A. The experience of living with a chronic illness: a qualitative study among end-stage renal disease patients. Journal of Social Work in End-of-Life & Palliative Care. 2023 Jul 3;19(3):190-208. researchgate.net

Ahmed K, Dubey MK, Dubey S, Pandey DK. Chronic kidney disease: Causes, treatment, management, and future scope. InComputational Intelligence for Genomics Data 2025 Jan 1 (pp. 99-111). Academic Press. [HTML]

Sever MS, Vanholder R, Luyckx V, Eckardt KU, Kolesnyk M, Wiecek A, Pawlowicz-Szlarska E, Gallego D, Shroff R, Škoberne A, Nistor I. Armed conflicts and kidney patients: a consensus statement from the Renal Disaster Relief Task Force of the ERA. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation. 2023 Jan;38(1):56-65. istanbul.edu.tr

Tungsanga S, Bello AK. Prevention of Chronic Kidney Disease and Its Complications in Older Adults: S. Tungsanga and AK Bello. Drugs & Aging. 2024. [HTML]

Kalantar-Zadeh K, Lockwood MB, Rhee CM, Tantisattamo E, Andreoli S, Balducci A, Laffin P, Harris T, Knight R, Kumaraswami L, Liakopoulos V. Patient-centred approaches for the management of unpleasant symptoms in kidney disease. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2022 Mar;18(3):185-98. escholarship.org

Kumar M, Dev S, Khalid MU, Siddenthi SM, Noman M, John C, Akubuiro C, Haider A, Rani R, Kashif M, Varrassi G. The bidirectional link between diabetes and kidney disease: mechanisms and management. Cureus. 2023 Sep 20;15(9). cureus.com

Ma C, Qirui C, Lv Y. “One community at a time”: promoting community resilience in the face of natural hazards and public health challenges. BMC Public Health. 2023. springer.com

Saul J. Collective trauma, collective healing: Promoting community resilience in the aftermath of disaster. 2022. [HTML]

Rachmad YE. Empowerment Theory. 2022. osf.io

Smith B, Williams O, Bone L, Collective TM. Co-production: A resource to guide co-producing research in the sport, exercise, and health sciences. Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health. 2023 Mar 4;15(2):159-87. tandfonline.com

Beidler LB, Razon NA, Lang H, Fraze TK. “More than just giving them a piece of paper”: interviews with primary care on social needs referrals to community-based organizations. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2022 Apr 14;37(16):4160. nih.gov

Goniewicz K, Burkle FM, Khorram-Manesh A. Transforming global public health: climate collaboration, political challenges, and systemic change. Journal of infection and public health. 2025 Jan 1;18(1):102615. sciencedirect.com Kale S, Hirani S, Vardhan S, Mishra A, Ghode DB, Prasad R, Wanjari M. Addressing cancer disparities through community engagement: lessons and best practices. Cureus. 2023 Aug 14;15(8). cureus.com

Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Ahmed SM, Anise A, Azzahir A, Baker KE, Cupito A, Eder M, Everette TD, Erwin K, Felzien M, Freeman E. Assessing meaningful community engagement: a conceptual model to advance health equity through transformed systems for health: organizing committee for assessing meaningful community engagement in health & health care programs & policies. NAM perspectives. 2022 Feb 14;2022:10-31478. nih.gov

Luyckx VA, Tuttle KR, Abdellatif D, Correa-Rotter R, Fung WW, Haris A, Hsiao LL, Khalife M, Kumaraswami LA, Loud F, Raghavan V. Mind the gap in kidney care: translating what we know into what we do. American Journal of Hypertension. 2024 Aug 1;37(8):640-9. oup.com

Shah KM, Hsiao LL. Leveraging resources effectively at the community level: lessons learned from the kidney disease screening and awareness program. Kidney International Reports. 2022. kireports.org

Aylı BI, Erbaydar NP. Chronic kidney disease awareness: a narrative review of patient, healthcare system, and community perspectives. Journal of Medicine and Palliative Care. 2026. dergipark.org.tr

Tariq MU, Fatima S. COMMUNITY-BASED STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES IN LOW-RESOURCE SETTINGS. Pakistan Journal of Health Solutions. 2025 Dec 31;3(2):62-8. pakistanhealthsolutions.org

Bera OP, Mondal H, Bhattacharya S. Empowering communities: a review of community-based outreach programs in controlling hypertension in India. Cureus. 2023. cureus.com