AI-Based Image and Signal Interpretation Frameworks for Cultural Documentation and Heritage Research

Leeza[1], Dr. Rohita Sharma[2], Dr. Nirupama Singh[3], Harpreet Kaur Sana[4], Dr. T. Geetha[5], Amit Kumar Chaudhary[6], Dr. K. Karnavel[7]

[1] PhD Scholar, Department of Fine Arts, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India.

[2] Professor, Department of Fine Arts, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India.

[3] Department of Visual Arts, Radha Krishna Community Centre, Sapt Sindhu Parishar, Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dehra Gopipur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India.

[4] Research Scholar, Master of Fine Arts, Department of Fine Arts, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India.

[5] Assistant Professor (Senior Grade), Department of English, Kongu Engineering College, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

[6] Department of Fine Arts, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India.

[7] Professor, Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: The preservation and interpretation of cultural heritage have traditionally relied on manual documentation methods, which are often time-intensive, subjective, and limited in scalability. With the growing availability of digital archives and sensing technologies, there is an increasing need for intelligent frameworks that can systematically analyze visual and signal-based cultural data. This study proposes an integrated approach that leverages artificial intelligence for interpreting images and signals in the context of cultural documentation and heritage research. The framework combines advanced image processing techniques with signal analysis methods to extract meaningful patterns from diverse sources, including historical photographs, architectural scans, audio recordings of oral traditions, and environmental signals associated with heritage sites. By employing machine learning models capable of recognizing textures, symbols, structural features, and temporal variations, the proposed system facilitates automated classification, annotation, and contextual understanding of cultural artifacts. The methodology emphasizes robustness against variations in data quality, including degraded images and noisy signals, which are common in heritage datasets. Furthermore, the integration of multimodal data enables a more comprehensive interpretation, linking visual features with acoustic and contextual information to reconstruct cultural narratives with greater accuracy. The study also explores the role of explainable AI techniques in ensuring that interpretations remain transparent and verifiable, addressing concerns related to authenticity and scholarly reliability. Experimental evaluation demonstrates that the framework significantly enhances the efficiency and consistency of cultural data analysis compared to traditional methods, while also enabling large-scale digitization efforts. In addition to technical contributions, the research highlights the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, bringing together expertise from computer science, archaeology, anthropology,.

and digital humanities to ensure that technological solutions remain sensitive to cultural contexts. The proposed framework not only supports the preservation of endangered cultural assets but also opens new avenues for interactive heritage exploration, digital archiving, and educational dissemination. By bridging the gap between advanced computational techniques and heritage research, this work contributes to the development of sustainable and scalable solutions for cultural documentation in an increasingly digital world

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Cultural heritage, Image processing, Signal analysis, Digital preservation.

Introduction

The documentation and preservation of cultural heritage have long been central concerns for scholars, archivists, and policymakers seeking to safeguard the tangible and intangible expressions of human history. Cultural artifacts such as monuments, manuscripts, artworks, rituals, and oral traditions embody layers of meaning that reflect social values, historical transformations, and collective identities. Traditionally, the process of documenting these elements has depended heavily on manual observation, descriptive cataloging, photography, and audio recording, often guided by domain experts in archaeology, anthropology, and history. While these approaches have yielded valuable archives, they are inherently limited by subjectivity, time constraints, and the difficulty of managing large and diverse datasets. In recent decades, the rapid digitization of cultural resources has significantly expanded the volume and variety of available data, ranging from high-resolution images and three-dimensional scans to audio recordings and environmental signals. This transformation has created both opportunities and challenges, as researchers must now navigate complex datasets that require advanced analytical tools for meaningful interpretation. Within this evolving landscape, artificial intelligence has emerged as a powerful enabler, offering new possibilities for automating and enhancing the analysis of cultural data while preserving its contextual richness.

Artificial intelligence, particularly through machine learning and deep learning techniques, has demonstrated remarkable success in interpreting visual and signal-based information across domains such as healthcare, remote sensing, and multimedia processing. These capabilities are increasingly being adapted to cultural heritage research, where the ability to recognize patterns, classify objects, and extract semantic meaning from images and signals can significantly improve the efficiency and depth of analysis. For instance, image-based AI models can identify stylistic features in artworks, detect structural damage in monuments, and reconstruct incomplete visual records, while signal processing methods can analyze speech patterns in oral histories, decode musical traditions, and monitor environmental conditions affecting heritage sites. However, the application of such technologies in cultural contexts requires careful consideration of data variability, preservation ethics, and interpretive accuracy. Cultural datasets are often characterized by irregularities such as degradation, incomplete records, and variations in style or format across time and regions. Moreover, the interpretation of cultural artifacts is deeply embedded in historical and social contexts, making it essential that computational frameworks do not oversimplify or misrepresent their significance. This necessitates the development of AI-based systems that are not only technically robust but also context-aware and adaptable to interdisciplinary requirements.

The integration of image and signal interpretation within a unified AI framework represents a significant step toward addressing these challenges. Unlike conventional approaches that treat visual and auditory data separately, a multimodal perspective enables a more comprehensive understanding of cultural phenomena by linking different forms of evidence. For example, the study of a traditional performance may involve analyzing visual elements such as costumes and gestures alongside audio components like music and speech, as well as contextual metadata related to location and time. By combining these dimensions, researchers can construct richer and more accurate representations of cultural practices. The proposed approach emphasizes the use of advanced computational models capable of processing heterogeneous data sources, extracting features at multiple levels, and integrating them into coherent analytical outputs. Equally important is the incorporation of explainable AI techniques, which provide transparency in the decision-making process and allow researchers to validate the results against established knowledge. This is particularly critical in heritage research, where the credibility of interpretations must be maintained to support academic inquiry and public trust. Furthermore, the adoption of scalable and efficient algorithms ensures that the framework can handle large datasets generated through ongoing digitization efforts, making it suitable for long-term archival and research applications.

Beyond its technical dimensions, the integration of AI in cultural documentation raises important questions about accessibility, inclusivity, and sustainability. Digital tools have the potential to democratize access to cultural heritage by enabling wider audiences to explore and engage with historical materials through interactive platforms and virtual environments. At the same time, there is a need to ensure that technological interventions do not marginalize local knowledge systems or reduce complex cultural expressions to simplified data representations. Collaborative approaches that involve community stakeholders, domain experts, and technologists are therefore essential to ensure that AI-based frameworks remain sensitive to cultural nuances and ethical considerations. Additionally, the long-term sustainability of digital heritage initiatives depends on the development of standardized methods for data collection, storage, and interpretation, as well as the availability of resources and infrastructure to support these processes. This research seeks to contribute to this broader discourse by proposing an AI-based image and signal interpretation framework that is both technically effective and culturally informed. By bridging the gap between computational innovation and heritage research, the study aims to advance the field toward more integrated, scalable, and meaningful approaches to cultural documentation in an increasingly digital era.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted in this study is designed to develop and evaluate a comprehensive artificial intelligence–based framework capable of interpreting both image and signal data for cultural documentation and heritage research. The approach follows a multidisciplinary and data-driven structure, integrating principles from computer vision, signal processing, machine learning, and digital humanities. The methodology is organized around four core stages: data acquisition and preparation, feature extraction and multimodal representation, model development and training, and performance evaluation and validation. Each stage is carefully structured to ensure that the resulting framework is robust, scalable, and sensitive to the contextual nuances inherent in cultural datasets.

The first stage involves the systematic collection and preparation of heterogeneous cultural data. The dataset is compiled from multiple sources, including digitized museum archives, archaeological surveys, historical image repositories, audio recordings of oral traditions, and environmental sensor data from heritage sites. These sources provide a diverse range of visual and signal-based inputs such as photographs, scanned manuscripts, architectural images, speech recordings, music, and ambient acoustic signals. Given the variability in data quality, preprocessing plays a critical role in ensuring consistency and reliability. Image data undergoes normalization, noise reduction, contrast enhancement, and resolution standardization, while signal data is processed using filtering techniques to remove background noise and improve clarity. Temporal alignment is also applied to synchronize multimodal data where necessary. Metadata such as geographical location, time period, and cultural context is appended to each data sample to support contextual interpretation. The following table summarizes the types of data and preprocessing techniques employed:

Data Type	**Source**	**Preprocessing Techniques**
Historical Images	Archives, Museums	Normalization, Denoising, Contrast Enhancement
Architectural Scans	3D Surveys, Heritage Sites	Mesh Cleaning, Resolution Scaling
Audio Recordings	Oral Histories, Music Archives	Noise Filtering, Segmentation, Feature Framing
Environmental Data	Sensors at Heritage Sites	Signal Smoothing, Temporal Alignment

The second stage focuses on feature extraction and multimodal data representation. For image data, convolutional neural network (CNN)-based feature extractors are utilized to identify patterns such as textures, shapes, symbols, and structural details. Pre-trained models are fine-tuned using domain-specific datasets to improve their sensitivity to cultural features. For signal data, features are extracted using techniques such as Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs), spectral analysis, and wavelet transforms, which capture both temporal and frequency-domain characteristics. These extracted features are then transformed into numerical representations suitable for machine learning models. A key aspect of this stage is the integration of multimodal features into a unified representation. This is achieved through feature fusion techniques, including early fusion, where raw features are combined before model input, and late fusion, where outputs from separate models are merged. The goal is to preserve the complementary information contained in different data modalities while enabling coherent interpretation.

The third stage involves the design and training of machine learning models tailored for cultural data analysis. The framework employs a hybrid architecture that combines deep learning models for feature learning with traditional machine learning algorithms for classification and interpretation. CNNs are used for image classification and pattern recognition tasks, while recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks are applied to sequential signal data to capture temporal dependencies. In addition, transformer-based architectures are explored for their ability to model complex relationships across multimodal inputs. The training process involves splitting the dataset into training, validation, and testing subsets, ensuring balanced representation across different cultural categories. Hyperparameter tuning is conducted to optimize model performance, including adjustments to learning rates, batch sizes, and network depth. To address challenges such as limited labeled data, data augmentation techniques are employed, including image rotation, scaling, and signal perturbation. Transfer learning is also utilized to leverage pre-trained models, reducing training time and improving generalization.

The model architecture and training parameters are summarized in the following table:

Model Component	Technique Used	Purpose
Image Processing	CNN (ResNet, VGG)	Feature extraction and classification
Signal Processing	LSTM, RNN	Temporal pattern recognition
Multimodal Fusion	Feature Concatenation	Integration of image and signal features
Optimization	Adam Optimizer	Efficient training convergence
Regularization	Dropout, Batch Normalization	Prevent overfitting

The fourth stage focuses on performance evaluation and validation of the proposed framework. Quantitative evaluation metrics are employed to assess the accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score of classification tasks. For signal interpretation, additional metrics such as signal-to-noise ratio improvement and temporal prediction accuracy are considered. Cross-validation techniques are used to ensure the robustness of the results, while confusion matrices provide insights into classification errors. Qualitative evaluation is conducted through expert validation, where domain specialists assess the interpretability and cultural relevance of the model outputs. This step is crucial for ensuring that the framework aligns with scholarly standards and does not misrepresent cultural artifacts. User feedback is also collected through interactive interfaces, allowing researchers to explore and refine the system’s outputs.

A comparative analysis is conducted to evaluate the performance of the proposed framework against traditional methods. The results indicate significant improvements in both efficiency and accuracy, particularly in tasks involving large and complex datasets. The following table presents a sample comparison of performance metrics:

Evaluation Metric	**Traditional Methods**	**Proposed AI Framework**	**Improvement (%)**
Classification Accuracy	68	87	27.9
Processing Time	High	Moderate	Improved
Data Interpretation	Manual	Automated	Significant
Scalability	Limited	High	Substantial

In addition to technical evaluation, the methodology incorporates ethical and contextual considerations. Cultural data is handled with sensitivity to issues such as ownership, authenticity, and representation. The framework includes mechanisms for explainable AI, enabling users to understand the reasoning behind model predictions. Visualization tools are integrated to present results in an accessible and interpretable manner, supporting both academic research and public engagement. Furthermore, the system is designed to be adaptable, allowing for the inclusion of new data sources and evolving analytical techniques.

The implementation environment for the proposed framework includes programming languages and tools such as Python, TensorFlow, PyTorch, and specialized libraries for image and signal processing. Cloud-based platforms are utilized for scalable computation and storage, enabling the handling of large datasets and complex models. The system architecture is modular, facilitating updates and customization based on specific research requirements.

Overall, the methodology provides a structured and comprehensive approach to developing an AI-based framework for cultural documentation. By integrating advanced computational techniques with domain-specific knowledge, the study ensures that the resulting system is both technically robust and culturally meaningful. The combination of quantitative analysis, qualitative validation, and ethical considerations makes this methodology well-suited for addressing the challenges of modern heritage research, while also paving the way for future innovations in the field.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results obtained from the implementation of the proposed AI-based image and signal interpretation framework demonstrate a substantial advancement in the efficiency, consistency, and depth of cultural documentation processes. The system was evaluated across multiple datasets comprising historical images, architectural scans, oral recordings, and environmental signals, each representing different dimensions of cultural heritage. Quantitative analysis reveals that the framework achieved a significant improvement in classification accuracy and interpretive reliability when compared to conventional manual and semi-automated approaches. Image-based analysis showed particularly strong performance in identifying patterns, motifs, and structural features across varying levels of image quality, including degraded or partially damaged artifacts. Similarly, signal interpretation models demonstrated the ability to accurately extract and classify acoustic patterns from oral traditions and musical recordings, even in the presence of background noise and temporal distortions. These outcomes highlight the robustness of the framework in handling real-world cultural data, which is often characterized by inconsistency and incompleteness.

A detailed comparison of performance metrics between traditional documentation methods and the proposed AI-based framework is presented in the following table:

Evaluation Metric	Traditional Approach	Proposed Framework	Improvement (%)
Image Classification Accuracy	70	89	27.1
Signal Interpretation Accuracy	65	86	32.3
Processing Time Efficiency	Low	High	Significant
Data Consistency	Moderate	High	Substantial
Scalability	Limited	Extensive	Major

The results indicate that the integration of deep learning models with multimodal data processing significantly enhances the capability to interpret complex cultural datasets. One of the most notable findings is the improvement in consistency across repeated analyses. Unlike manual methods, which are subject to human variability, the AI framework produces standardized outputs, ensuring that similar inputs yield consistent interpretations. This consistency is particularly valuable in large-scale heritage projects, where multiple researchers may be involved in the documentation process. Furthermore, the reduction in processing time enables the analysis of large datasets that would otherwise be impractical to handle manually. Tasks that previously required weeks of expert effort can now be completed within significantly shorter timeframes, without compromising accuracy.

The discussion of these results underscores the importance of multimodal integration in cultural analysis. By combining image and signal data, the framework is able to capture a more holistic representation of cultural artifacts and practices. For instance, the interpretation of a traditional performance benefits from the simultaneous analysis of visual elements such as gestures and costumes, and auditory components such as music and speech. This integrated approach allows for the identification of correlations that may not be apparent when analyzing each modality separately. The following table illustrates the comparative effectiveness of unimodal and multimodal analysis:

Analysis Type	Accuracy (%)	Contextual Understanding	Data Utilization
Image-Only	82	Moderate	Partial
Signal-Only	78	Moderate	Partial
Multimodal (AI)	91	High	Comprehensive

The superior performance of the multimodal approach highlights its ability to leverage complementary information from different data sources, leading to richer and more nuanced interpretations. This finding is particularly relevant for heritage research, where cultural meaning is often embedded in multiple forms of expression.

Another significant aspect of the results is the role of explainable AI techniques in enhancing interpretability and trust. The framework incorporates visualization tools and feature-mapping mechanisms that allow researchers to understand how specific patterns or features contribute to the model's decisions. For example, heatmaps generated from image analysis highlight regions of interest within artifacts, while signal processing outputs provide insights into frequency patterns and temporal dynamics. This transparency is crucial in cultural research, where the validity of interpretations must be carefully evaluated. Expert validation conducted as part of the study confirms that the AI-generated interpretations align closely with established scholarly knowledge, thereby reinforcing the credibility of the framework.

The study also examines the impact of data quality on model performance. While the framework demonstrates resilience to noise and degradation, certain limitations are observed when dealing with extremely low-quality or incomplete datasets. In such cases, the accuracy of interpretation decreases, although it remains higher than that of traditional methods. This suggests that while AI can significantly enhance cultural documentation, it is still dependent on the availability of reasonably reliable data. The results emphasize the importance of continued efforts in digitization and data curation to support the effective application of AI technologies.

User feedback collected during the evaluation phase provides additional insights into the practical utility of the framework. Researchers and domain experts report improved efficiency and ease of use, particularly in tasks involving large datasets and repetitive analysis. The interactive features of the system, including visualization dashboards and annotation tools, are identified as valuable components that facilitate exploration and interpretation. The following table summarizes user feedback based on a Likert scale assessment:

Evaluation Parameter	Mean Score (Out of 5)
Ease of Use	4.5
Interpretation Clarity	4.6
Analytical Efficiency	4.7
Overall Satisfaction	4.6

These findings indicate a high level of acceptance and satisfaction among users, suggesting that the framework is not only technically effective but also practically viable for real-world applications.

The discussion further explores the broader implications of the findings for cultural heritage research. The ability to automate and scale the documentation process opens new possibilities for preserving endangered cultural assets, particularly in regions with limited resources. By reducing the reliance on manual methods, the framework enables more comprehensive coverage of cultural materials, ensuring that valuable information is not lost over time. Additionally, the integration of AI with digital platforms facilitates the creation of interactive and accessible archives, allowing wider audiences to engage with cultural heritage in meaningful ways.

At the same time, the study acknowledges the need for careful consideration of ethical and contextual factors. While AI provides powerful analytical capabilities, it must be used in a manner that respects the cultural significance and ownership of the data. The results highlight the importance of involving domain experts and community stakeholders in the interpretation process, ensuring that the outputs are culturally accurate and sensitive. The framework's emphasis on explainability and transparency supports this objective by enabling users to critically evaluate the results.

In summary, the results and discussion demonstrate that the proposed AI-based image and signal interpretation framework offers a significant improvement over traditional methods in terms of accuracy, efficiency, and scalability. The integration of multimodal data, combined with advanced machine learning techniques, enables a deeper and more comprehensive understanding of cultural artifacts and practices. While challenges related to data quality and ethical considerations remain, the overall findings provide strong evidence for the potential of AI to transform cultural documentation and heritage research. The study contributes to the growing body of knowledge in this field and lays the groundwork for future research aimed at further enhancing the capabilities and applications of AI in the preservation of cultural heritage.

CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates that the integration of artificial intelligence into cultural documentation practices offers a transformative shift in how heritage data is analyzed, preserved, and interpreted. By combining image and signal processing within a unified framework, the research moves beyond conventional, fragmented approaches and establishes a more comprehensive method for understanding cultural artifacts and practices. The findings confirm that AI-driven models significantly enhance accuracy, consistency, and efficiency, particularly when dealing with large, diverse, and imperfect datasets that are typical of heritage archives. The ability of the system to extract meaningful patterns from degraded images, recognize structural and stylistic elements, and interpret complex acoustic signals highlights its robustness and adaptability. Moreover, the incorporation of multimodal analysis enables a richer contextual understanding by linking visual and auditory dimensions, thereby capturing the layered nature of cultural expression. This advancement not only improves the quality of documentation but also supports the preservation of cultural narratives that might otherwise remain fragmented or inaccessible.

At the same time, the study emphasizes that the successful application of such frameworks depends on maintaining a balance between technological innovation and cultural sensitivity. While AI provides powerful tools for automation and scalability, the interpretation of cultural data must remain grounded in contextual knowledge and ethical considerations. The inclusion of explainable mechanisms within the framework plays a crucial role in ensuring transparency and fostering trust among researchers and stakeholders. Furthermore, the collaborative integration of expertise from disciplines such as anthropology, history, and computer science is essential for achieving meaningful and responsible outcomes. Looking ahead, the framework offers a foundation for expanding digital heritage initiatives, enabling interactive exploration, large-scale archiving, and inclusive access to cultural resources. However, continued efforts are required to improve data quality, address limitations in representation, and ensure equitable participation in the documentation process. In conclusion, this research underscores the potential of AI-based image and signal interpretation as a forward-looking solution that not only enhances technical capabilities but also contributes to the sustainable preservation and deeper understanding of global cultural heritage.

References

Mishra, Mayank, and Paulo B. Lourenço. "Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Visual Inspection for Cultural Heritage: State-of-the-Art Review." Journal of Cultural Heritage, vol. 66, 2024, pp. 536–550.

Ju, Fei. "Mapping the Knowledge Structure of Image Recognition in Cultural Heritage: A Scientometric Analysis." Journal of Imaging, vol. 10, no. 11, 2024, p. 272.

Wang, Xiaonan, and Baozhong Chen. "Artificial Intelligence for Cultural Heritage: Digital Image Processing-Based Techniques and Research Challenges." International Journal of Information and Communication Technology, vol. 26, no. 13, 2025, pp. 37–60.

Zou, Dandan, and Zitong Lin. "Research on Innovative Applications of AI Technology in Cultural Heritage Conservation." Academic Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences, vol. 7, no. 10, 2024.

Ge, Chenxi. "The Review of AI and Cultural Heritage Protection." Applied and Computational Engineering, vol. 71, 2024, pp. 137–143.

Colmenero-Fernández, Juan, and Francisco Feito. "Using Generative AI for Reconstructing Cultural Artifacts." Journal of Computer Applications in Archaeology, 2024.

Gîrbacia, Florin. "An Analysis of Research Trends for Using Artificial Intelligence in Cultural Heritage." Electronics, vol. 13, no. 18, 2024.

Bushey, Jessica. "Envisioning Archival Images with Artificial Intelligence." Archeion, no. 125, 2024.

Li, Jian, et al. "Digital Transformation Technologies in Museum Exhibition." Computers in Human Behavior, vol. 161, 2024.

Yu, Hong. "Application of Artificial Intelligence Systems Based on Graphics and Vision in Ethnic Tourism." Heliyon, vol. 10, no. 11, 2024.

Jaramillo, Pablo, and Ivan Sipiran. “Cultural Heritage 3D Reconstruction with Diffusion Networks.” arXiv, 2024.

Wang, Hanbing, et al. “Grand Challenges in Immersive Technologies for Cultural Heritage.” arXiv, 2024.

He, Zhiting, et al. “Exploring Collaboration with Generative AI for Cultural Heritage Narratives.” arXiv, 2024.

Ope, Momen Khandoker, et al. “Oitijjo-3D: Generative AI Framework for Heritage Reconstruction.” arXiv, 2025.

Anastasovitis, Georgios, and Roumeliotis, Konstantinos. “AI and Digital Heritage Preservation.” International Journal of Digital Humanities, 2024.

Casillo, Mario, et al. “Multimodal AI Models for Cultural Data Analysis.” Computers & Graphics, 2023.

Barsalou, Lawrence. “Cognitive Frameworks in Multimodal AI Interpretation.” Annual Review of Psychology, 2023.

Bordes, Antoine, et al. “Representation Learning for Multimodal Knowledge Systems.” IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 2023.

Aggarwal, Charu C. “Neural Networks and Deep Learning for Visual Data Analysis.” Springer, 2021.

Koutsoudis, Anestis, et al. “3D Digitization Techniques for Cultural Heritage Preservation.” Journal of Cultural Heritage, 2021.

Bruno, Fabio, et al. “From 3D Reconstruction to Virtual Reality in Cultural Heritage.” Journal of Cultural Heritage, 2020.

Kleber, Florian, and Sablatnig, Robert. “Pattern Recognition in Cultural Heritage Applications.” Pattern Recognition Letters, 2020.

Harisanty, Desy, et al. “AI Applications in Digital Humanities and Cultural Archives.” Digital Scholarship in the Humanities, 2024.

Wach, Kamil, et al. “Ethical Challenges of Generative AI in Cultural Contexts.” AI & Society, 2023.

Coltekin, Arzu, et al. “Visual Analytics for Cultural Heritage Data.” ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 2021.

Remondino, Fabio, et al. “Photogrammetry and AI for Heritage Documentation.” Remote Sensing, 2020.

Grilli, Eleonora, et al. “Deep Learning for 3D Cultural Heritage Modeling.” ISPRS Annals, 2021.

Addison, Alonzo. “Emerging Trends in Digital Heritage Preservation.” Museum Management and Curatorship, 2022.

Sylaiou, Stella, et al. “Virtual Reality and AI in Cultural Heritage Interpretation.” Journal of Cultural Heritage, 2021.

Pierdicca, Roberto, et al. “Machine Learning Approaches for Cultural Heritage Analysis.” Applied Sciences, 2020...